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#### Abstract

For a non-square positive integer $d$ with $4 \nmid d$, put $\omega(d):=(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ if $d$ is congruent to 1 modulo 4 and $\omega(d):=\sqrt{d}$ otherwise. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$ be the symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\omega(d)$. We say that the sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{[\ell / 2]}$ is the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\omega(d)$. The main purposes of this article are to introduce a notion of "extremely large end (ELE)" for a finite sequence, and to study properties for a non-square positive integer $d$ such that the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ with even period is of ELE type.


## Introduction

Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer and put $\alpha=\sqrt{d}$ or $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$. Then it is known that the simple continued fraction expansion is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & \left.=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell}}\right] \text { (the periodic part begins with } a_{1}\right) \\
a_{n} & =a_{\ell-n}(1 \leq n \leq \ell-1) \text { (the symmetric property holds) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\ell$ is the minimal period. Then we say that the sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$ is the symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$. Moreover, putting $L:=[\ell / 2]$, we say that the sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$, where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq x$ for a real number $x$. For a non-square positive integer $d$ with $4 \nmid d$, put $\omega(d):=(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ if $d$ is congruent to 1 modulo 4 and $\omega(d):=\sqrt{d}$ otherwise. Then the canonical integral basis of a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is given by $\{1, \omega(d)\}$ when $d$ is square-free. In this paper, we examine primary symmetric parts of the simple continued fraction expansions of $\omega(d)$.

The class number one problem for real quadratic fields is a mysterious classical problem. The class number is closely related to the fundamental unit. For instance, by Siegel's

[^0]Theorem, the fundamental units of real quadratic fields with class number 1 are relatively large. It is known that there exist only finitely many real quadratic fields of extended Richaud-Degert type (call simply ERD type; see Mollin [18, Definition 3.2.2] for the definition) with class number 1 and they are determined (see also [18, Theorem 5.4.3]) with one more possible exception. We easily see that the fundamental units of real quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ of ERD type are $<d$, namely, they are small, by using their explicit form. Moreover the minimal periods of $\omega(d)$ are $\leq 12$ (cf. [18, Section 3.2]). According to results of Sasaki [21] and Lachaud [15], for any positive integers $\ell$ and $h$, there exist at most finitely many real quadratic fields with period $\ell$ of class number $h$. Yamamoto [23], HalterKoch [5, 6], Williams [22] and others examined a construction of infinite families of real quadratic fields with large fundamental units (see [22] for the history). We can observe that these infinite families consist of real quadratic fields with various periods. Mollin [19], McLaughlin [17], and [12] examined a construction of infinite families of real quadratic fields with a given even period. However the fundamental unit of them is relatively small.

In [11], on the other hand, it was proved that there exist exactly 51 real quadratic fields of class number 1 that are not of minimal type (we give the definition later), with one more possible exception. This was shown by using the fact that if a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is not of minimal type then the Yokoi invariant $m_{d}$ of $d$ (see Remark 1.4 (2) for the definition) is $\leq 3$ (see [11, Proposition 4.2] and [13, Proposition 4.2]). Hence a real quadratic field with large fundamental unit is of minimal type. Thus we have to examine a construction of real quadratic fields with non-fixed period $\ell$ of minimal type in order to find many real quadratic fields of class number 1.

Here, let $d_{\ell}$ be the smallest integer $d$ such that the minimal periods of the simple continued fraction expansions of $\omega(d)$ are equal to a fixed positive integer $\ell$ where $d$ runs through square-free positive integers with $d \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$. Then the following hold for each even positive integer $\ell$ with $8 \leq \ell \leq 73478$; i) the class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}\right)$ is equal to 1 , ii) $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}\right)$ is of minimal type, iii) the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\omega\left(d_{\ell}\right)$ is of ELE type (see Section 6 for more detail). In the next section, we introduce a notion of "extremely large end (ELE)" for a finite sequence of positive integers.

From now on, we shall state the definition of "minimal type". For a symmetric sequence of $\ell-1$ positive integers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$, we define nonnegative integers $q_{n}, r_{n}$ by using $a_{n}(1 \leq n \leq \ell-1)$ :

$$
\begin{cases}q_{0}=0, & q_{1}=1,  \tag{0.1}\\ r_{0}=1, & q_{n}=a_{n-1} q_{n-1}+q_{n-2}(2 \leq n \leq \ell) \\ r_{1}=0, & r_{n}=a_{n-1} r_{n-1}+r_{n-2}(2 \leq n \leq \ell)\end{cases}
$$

For brevity, we put

$$
A:=q_{\ell}, B:=q_{\ell-1}, C:=r_{\ell-1},
$$

and define linear polynomials $g(x), h(x)$ and a quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ by

$$
g(x)=A x-(-1)^{\ell} B C, h(x)=B x-(-1)^{\ell} C^{2}, f(x)=g(x)^{2}+4 h(x) .
$$

Furthermore, let $s_{0}$ be the least integer $x$ for which $g(x)>0$.
We consider three cases separately:
(I) $A \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$,
(II) $(A, C) \equiv(0,0)(\bmod 2)$,
(III) $(A, C) \equiv(0,1)(\bmod 2)$.

The following theorem was shown in [11, Theorem 3.1] which is an improvement of results of Friesen [1, Theorem] and of Halter-Koch [7, Theorem 1A, Corollary 1A].

THEOREM 0.1. Let $\ell \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$ any symmetric sequence of $\ell-1$ positive integers.

When Case (I) or Case (II) occurs, we let $s$ be any integer with $s \geq s_{0}$, and put $d:=f(s) / 4$ and $a_{0}:=g(s) / 2$. Here, we choose an even integer $s$ in Case (I), and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s)>a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1} . \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $d$ and $a_{0}$ are positive integers, $d$ is non-square, $a_{0}=[\sqrt{d}]$ and the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, 2 a_{0}}\right] \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with minimal period $\ell$. Also, in Case (III), there is no positive integer d such that (0.3) is the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$.

When Case (I) or Case (III) occurs, we let $s$ be any integer with $s \geq s_{0}$, and put $d:=$ $f(s)$ and $a_{0}:=(g(s)+1) / 2$. Here, we choose an odd integer $s$ in Case (I), and assume that ( 0.2 ) holds. Then, $d$ and $a_{0}$ are positive integers, $d$ is non-square, $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, $a_{0}=[(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2]$ and the simple continued fraction expansion of $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, 2 a_{0}-1}\right] \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with minimal period $\ell$. Also, in Case (II), there is no positive integer $d$ such that $d \equiv$ $1(\bmod 4)$ and $(0.4)$ is the simple continued fraction expansion of $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$.

Conversely, we let d be any non-square positive integer. By using a quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ and an integer $s_{0}$ obtained as above from the symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}, d$ can be written uniquely as $d=f(s) / 4$ with some integer $s \geq s_{0}$, and $(0.2)$ holds. If $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ in addition then the same thing is true for $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$.

Definition 0.1 ([11, Definition 3.1]). Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer. By Theorem $0.1, d$ can be written uniquely as $d=f(s) / 4$ with some integer $s \geq s_{0}$, where $f(x)$ and $s_{0}$ are obtained as above from the symmetric part $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$ of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ and $\ell$ is the minimal period. If $s=s_{0}$, that is, $d=$ $f\left(s_{0}\right) / 4$ holds, then we say that $d$ is a positive integer with period $\ell$ of minimal type for (the simple continued fraction expansion of) $\sqrt{d}$. When $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ in addition, $d$ can be written uniquely as $d=f(s)$ with some integer $s \geq s_{0}$, where $f(x)$ and $s_{0}$ are obtained as above from the symmetric part $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$ of the simple continued fraction expansion of $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ and $\ell$ is the minimal period. If $s=s_{0}$, that is, $d=f\left(s_{0}\right)$ holds, then we say that $d$ is a positive integer with period $\ell$ of minimal type for (the simple continued fraction expansion of $)(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$.

Furthermore, for a square-free positive integer $d>1$, we say that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a real quadratic field with period $\ell$ of minimal type, if $d$ is a positive integer with period $\ell$ of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$ when $d \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$, and if $d$ is a positive integer with period $\ell$ of minimal type for $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ when $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.

In [10], following [11], [12] and [14], we calculated $s_{0}, g\left(s_{0}\right), h\left(s_{0}\right)$. By using this result, we construct a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ of minimal type such that the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\omega(d)$ is of ELE type.

## 1. Introduction to sequences of ELE type and main results

In this section, we introduce a notion of "extremely large end" for a finite sequence of positive integers and describe our main theorems (Theorems 1, 2). Theorem 1 contains great pioneering works of Golubeva [3, 4] (see Remark 1.2). We let $d$ be a non-square positive integer and assume that the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is

$$
\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]
$$

with minimal even period $2 L(\geq 4)$. Then it is known by a classical result (see Perron [20, Satz 3.14]) that both

$$
a_{n}<\frac{2 a_{0}}{3}\left(1 \leq{ }^{\forall} n \leq L-1\right),
$$

and

$$
a_{L}=a_{0}, a_{L}=a_{0}-1 \text { or } a_{L} \leq \frac{2 a_{0}}{3}
$$

hold. When the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{L}=a_{0} \text { or } a_{L}=a_{0}-1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, we see that the value of $a_{L}$ is relatively larger than that of the former partial quotients $a_{n}(1 \leq n \leq L-1)$. We will give new conditions which are equivalent to the condition (1.1). For this, we consider the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { " } a_{L} \geq 2 \text { and } \mu=a_{L} " \text { or " } a_{L} \geq 4 \text { and } \mu=a_{L}+2 \text { ". } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we define an integer $\mu \geq 0$ as follows by using the results of [10]. From the primary symmetric part $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L}$, we calculate nonnegative integers $q_{n}, r_{n}(1 \leq n \leq L+1)$ by using (0.1), and define integers $u_{1}, u_{2}, w, v_{1}, v_{2}, z, \delta$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{L}^{2}-(-1)^{L}\right)\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)=q_{L} v_{1}+u_{1}\left(0 \leq u_{1}<q_{L}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L}-q_{L-1}\right) r_{L} & =q_{L} z+w\left(0 \leq w<q_{L}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
(-1)^{L}\left(q_{L}-r_{L+1}\right)+z & =q_{L} v_{2}+u_{2}\left(0 \leq u_{2}<q_{L}\right),  \tag{1.5}\\
\delta & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } u_{1} \leq u_{2}, \\
1 & \text { if } u_{1}>u_{2} .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma & :=q_{L}\left(\delta q_{L}+u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+w,  \tag{1.6}\\
\mu & :=\frac{1}{q_{L}}\left\{\gamma\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)+2\left(q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the first term of the right hand-side of (2.16) in Section 2. We determine quadratic irrationals $\omega_{n}(0 \leq n \leq 2 L)$ such that

$$
\omega_{0}:=\sqrt{d}, \quad \omega_{n}=a_{n}+\frac{1}{\omega_{n+1}}, \quad a_{n}=\left[\omega_{n}\right]
$$

where $a_{n}=a_{n-L}(L+1 \leq n \leq 2 L-1)$ and $a_{2 L}=2 a_{0}$. Then we can write uniquely $\omega_{n}=\left(P_{n}+\sqrt{d}\right) / Q_{n}$ with some positive integers $P_{n}, Q_{n}$ for each $n \geq 1$ (cf. [11, Section 2]).

THEOREM 1. Under the above setting, assume that $L \geq 3$ and $d \neq 19$. Then the following four conditions are equivalent:
(i) $d$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$ and the condition (1.2) holds;
(ii) $d$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$, and either

$$
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}, a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) \text { and } a_{L} \geq 2
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}, a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) \text { and } a_{L} \geq 4 \\
& \quad \text { holds; }
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $Q_{L}=2$;
(iv) $a_{L}=a_{0}$ or $a_{L}=a_{0}-1$.

In particular, Theorem 1 leads to the following corollary which gives a family of real quadratic fields of minimal type.

Corollary 1. Let $p$ be a prime number with $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then if the minimal period of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{p}$ is less than or equal to 4 , then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is not of minimal type. On the other hand, if it is greater than or equal to 6 then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is of minimal type.

Remark 1.1. Let $d=19$. Then, $\sqrt{d}=[4, \overline{2,1,3,1,2,8}], L=3, a_{L}=a_{0}-1=$ 3, $Q_{L}=2$, and we have the following table:

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{n}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| $r_{n}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |

We easily see that $u_{1}=1, v_{1}=3 ; w=1, z=0 ; u_{2}=1, v_{2}=0 ; \delta=0, \gamma=1$, $\mu=a_{L}+2=5 ; r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}=1$, and $a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. Moreover $d=19$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$ because of $s=s_{0}=2$. Thus all conditions of Theorem 1 hold with one exception " $a_{L} \geq 4$ ".

REMARK 1.2. Golubeva proved that (iii) yields the equation and the congruence in (ii) when $d$ is a prime number congruent to 3 modulo 4 ([4, Theorem 1]). However her ingenious proof also works for any non-square positive integer $d$ as in Theorem 1 (cf. Section 4.4). The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is shown in the proof of [20, Satz 3.14] or [4, p.1279].

Now we see by Theorem 1 that the condition (1.2) is a necessary condition for the condition (1.1) under some conditions. So we define the following notion.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $L \geq 2$ and let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ be a sequence of positive integers. If the above condition (1.2) holds, we say that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is a sequence with extremely large end (we also write that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is of ELE type). Specially $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is said to be of $E L E_{1}$ type (resp. $E L E_{2}$ type) if $a_{L} \geq 2$ and $\mu=a_{L}$ (resp. $a_{L} \geq 4$ and $\mu=a_{L}+2$ ) hold.

REMARK 1.3. We consider a sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}$. Using the calculation results in [10, Example 1], we have

$$
\mu= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } a_{1} \mid a_{2}, \\ \left(a_{1}-r\right)\left(a_{1} a_{2}+2\right) & \text { if } a_{1} \nmid a_{2},\end{cases}
$$

where $r$ is the remainder of the division of $a_{2}$ by $a_{1}$. We see that if $a_{1} \mid a_{2}$,

$$
\mu=0<a_{2}<a_{2}+2
$$

and if $a_{1} \nmid a_{2}$,

$$
\mu=\left(a_{1}-r\right)\left(a_{1} a_{2}+2\right) \geq a_{1} a_{2}+2>a_{2}+2>a_{2}
$$

because of $a_{1}>1$. Hence we obtain $\mu \neq a_{2}$ and $\mu \neq a_{2}+2$. Therefore, there is no sequence of ELE type with length 2.

Theorem 2 (2) stated below gives a way of constructing every positive integer $d$ satisfying the condition (i) of Theorem 1, namely, a positive integer $d$ of minimal type such that the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ with even period is of ELE type (see the proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) in Section 4.2).

THEOREM 2. Assume that a sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}(L \geq 3)$ is of ELE type. In addition, we assume

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a_{L} & >a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L-1}  \tag{1.8}\\
\left(\operatorname{resp} .2 a_{L}+2\right. & \left.>a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L-1}\right), \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and put $\varepsilon:=0($ resp. $\varepsilon:=1)$ if $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is of ELE $E_{1}$ type (resp. ELE 2 type).
(1) There does not exist a positive integer $d$, $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, with period $2 L$ of minimal type for $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ whose simple continued fraction expansion has the symmetric part $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}$.
(2) Put $a_{0}:=g\left(s_{0}\right) / 2, d:=f\left(s_{0}\right) / 4$. Then $a_{0}$ and $d$ are positive integers with

$$
a_{0}=a_{L}+\varepsilon \text { and } d=\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+\frac{2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}}{q_{L}} \equiv \begin{cases}2(\bmod 4) & \text { if } a_{L} \text { is even }, \\ 3(\bmod 4) & \text { if } a_{L} \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is

$$
\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{L}+\varepsilon, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{L}+2 \varepsilon}\right]
$$

and $d$ is a positive integer with period $2 L$ of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$.
(3) Let $d$ be as in (2). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} Q_{n}=-\frac{2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}}{q_{L}} q_{n}^{2}+2\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right) q_{n} r_{n}+r_{n}^{2}(1 \leq n \leq 2 L-1) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{L} & =2, \\
Q_{L-1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}}{q_{L}}+\varepsilon\left(2 a_{L}+1\right)\right), \\
Q_{1} & =\frac{2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}}{q_{L}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, let $m_{d}$ be the Yokoi invariant of d defined below. Then we have $m_{d}=2 q_{L}^{2}$ if $L$ is even, and $m_{d}=2 q_{L}^{2}-1$ if $L$ is odd.

Remark 1.4. (1) The values of $Q_{n}$ are related to the class number one problem (cf. Louboutin [16]). They will be studied on another occasion.
(2) Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer with $d \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$. We let $d=d_{1} d_{2}^{2}$ be a factorization of $d$ into positive integers with $d_{1}$ square-free, and consider a real quadratic field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{1}}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{d_{2}}$ be the order of conductor $d_{2}$ in $K$, that is, the subring of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of integers in $K$, containing 1, with finite index $\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}: \mathcal{O}_{d_{2}}\right)=d_{2}$. By [13, Lemma 2.3], the discriminant of $\mathcal{O}_{d_{2}}$ is $4 d$. Thus we consider the real quadratic order of discriminant $4 d$ (cf. [13, Remark 2.4]). We denote by $E_{d}>1$ the fundamental unit of $\mathcal{O}_{d_{2}}$. Then we can write uniquely $E_{d}=(T+U \sqrt{d}) / 2$ with positive integers $T, U$. We define an integer $m_{d}(\geq 0)$ by $m_{d}=\left[U^{2} / T\right]$ and call it the Yokoi invariant of $d$ ([13, Definition 2.1]). By a theorem of Yokoi ([13, Theorem 2.1 [B]]) for a non-square positive integer, it holds that $m_{d} d<E_{d}<\left(m_{d}+1\right) d$ if $d>13$. Thus the quantity $m_{d}$ gives a size of the fundamental unit $E_{d}$ for $d$. The value of $m_{d}$ gives a rough size of $E_{d}$ instead of the regulator $\log E_{d}$.

This paper is organized as follows. After preparations in Section 2, we prove Theorem 2 in Section 3. By using Theorem 2, we prove Theorem 1 in Section 4. In Section 5, we prove Corollary 1. In Section 6, we state motives which came to consider the notion of "ELE", and then give numerical examples.

In [9], we will examine a construction of sequences of ELE type.

## 2. Preparations

We let $d$ be a non-square positive integer and assume that the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is $\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ with minimal period $\ell(\geq 2)$. In order to prove our theorems, we collect the facts on the simple continued fraction expansions with even period. For basic properties of continued fractions, we refer the reader to an excellent book of Halter-Koch [8]. From the symmetric part $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}$, we define nonnegative integers $q_{n}, r_{n}$ by (0.1) and define positive integers $p_{n}$ by a recurrence equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=1, \quad p_{1}=a_{0}, \quad p_{n}=a_{n-1} p_{n-1}+p_{n-2}(2 \leq n \leq \ell) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following hold (not necessary the condition " $\ell$ even").
Lemma 2.1. Let the notation be as above. For $0 \leq n \leq \ell-1$, the following hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n+1} r_{n}-q_{n} r_{n+1}=(-1)^{n}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n} & =a_{0} q_{n}+r_{n},  \tag{2.3}\\
P_{n+1} & =P_{\ell-n}, \quad Q_{n}=Q_{\ell-n},  \tag{2.4}\\
P_{n+1}+P_{n} & =a_{n} Q_{n},  \tag{2.5}\\
d & =P_{n+1}^{2}+Q_{n} Q_{n+1},  \tag{2.6}\\
0 & <P_{n+1} \leq a_{0}<\sqrt{d}, \quad 0<Q_{n+1}<2 \sqrt{d},  \tag{2.7}\\
Q_{n} & >1(n \neq 0),  \tag{2.8}\\
p_{n}^{2}-d q_{n}^{2} & =(-1)^{n} Q_{n} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For (2.2), see for example [12, (2.3)]; For (2.3), see [12, (2.4)]; For (2.4), see [12, (3.7)]; For (2.5), see [12, (2.16)]; For (2.6), see [12, (2.18)]; For (2.7), see [11, p.871]; For (2.8), see [11, Lemma 2.2]; For (2.9), see [12, Lemma 2.7].

From now on, we suppose that $\ell$ is even. We write $\ell=2 L$ with some integer $L$ and define $Q$ and $R$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & :=q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\left(=a_{L} q_{L}+2 q_{L-1}\right), \\
R & :=r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\left(=a_{L} r_{L}+2 r_{L-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, for convenience.
Lemma 2.2. Let the notation be as above. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=q_{\ell}=Q q_{L} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q r_{L}-q_{L} R=(-1)^{L} 2 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(s_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{q_{L}}\left\{\gamma Q+2\left(q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)\right\}+a_{L},  \tag{2.16}\\
& q_{L} s_{0}=r_{L} C-(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}+\left(\delta q_{L}+u_{2}-u_{1}-z\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), see [12, Lemma 2.2 (i)]; For (2.13), see [12, (2.12)]; For (2.14), see [12, (3.5)]; For (2.15), see [10, (2.14)]; For (2.16), see [10, (2.6)]; For (2.17), see [10, (2.19)].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2 which gives positive integers $d$ of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$ such that the primary symmetric parts of the simple continued fraction expansions of $\sqrt{d}$ are of ELE type. For this, we first analyze the value of $\mu$ defined by (1.7):

$$
\mu:=\frac{1}{q_{L}}\left\{\gamma\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)+2\left(q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)\right\},
$$

where $\gamma$ is as in (1.6).
Proposition 3.1. Let $L \geq 2$. For a sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$, the following hold.
(1) Assume $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $w=1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu=a_{L} & \Longleftrightarrow r_{L}=2 q_{L-1} \\
\mu=a_{L}+2 & \Longleftrightarrow r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $q_{L}>1, a_{L} \geq 2$ and $\mu=a_{L}$, then $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}, u_{1}=u_{2}, w=1,2 \nmid q_{L}$, $q_{L} \mid r_{L+1}$ and $z=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}$.
(3) If $q_{L}>1, a_{L} \geq 4$ and $\mu=a_{L}+2$, then $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}, u_{1}=u_{2}, w=1$, $2 \nmid q_{L}, q_{L} \mid\left(2 r_{L+1}+r_{L}\right)$ and $z=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)$.

Before proving this, we will show the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. (1) If $r_{L} \equiv 2 q_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$, then $u_{1} \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$.
(2) If $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$, then $w=1$ and $z=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}$. If $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$, then $w=1$ and $z=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)$.
(3) We have $u_{2} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} r_{L+1}+z\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$.

Proof. First we remark that the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L} r_{L-1}-q_{L-1} r_{L}=(-1)^{L-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds by (2.2), which yields the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L-1} r_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) We assume $r_{L} \equiv 2 q_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. Then by (3.2), we have

$$
q_{L-1} r_{L}^{2} \equiv(-1)^{L} 2 q_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{L}, q_{L-1}\right)=1$, we get $r_{L}^{2} \equiv(-1)^{L} 2\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. From this together with (1.3), we have

$$
u_{1} \equiv\left(r_{L}^{2}-(-1)^{L}\right)\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right) \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

(2) If $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$, then by (3.1) we have

$$
(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L}-q_{L-1}\right) r_{L}=(-1)^{L} q_{L-1} r_{L}=(-1)^{L}\left(q_{L} r_{L-1}-(-1)^{L-1}\right)=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1} \cdot q_{L}+1 .
$$

Hence we get $w=1$ and $z=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}$ by (1.4).
If $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$, then by (3.1) we have

$$
(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L}-q_{L-1}\right) r_{L}=(-1)^{L}\left(q_{L-1}-q_{L}\right) r_{L}=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right) \cdot q_{L}+1
$$

Hence we get $w=1$ and $z=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)$ by (1.4).
(3) This congruence is given by (1.5) immediately.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Since $a_{L} q_{L}=q_{L+1}-q_{L-1}$, it follows from (1.7) that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-a_{L}=\frac{1}{q_{L}}\left\{\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)(\gamma-1)+2\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-a_{L}-2=\frac{1}{q_{L}}\left\{\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)(\gamma-1)+2\left(2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}-r_{L}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we recall (1.6):

$$
\gamma= \begin{cases}q_{L}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+w & \text { if } u_{1} \leq u_{2} \\ q_{L}\left(q_{L}+u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+w & \text { if } u_{1}>u_{2}\end{cases}
$$

In the case $u_{1}=u_{2}$, we easily see $\gamma=w$. In the case $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ and $q_{L}>1$, we have

$$
\gamma \geq q_{L}+w>1,
$$

because of $-q_{L}<u_{2}-u_{1}$. Thus we have

$$
\gamma=1 \Longleftrightarrow u_{1}=u_{2}, w=1
$$

under the condition $q_{L}>1$.
(1) Assume $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $w=1$. Then we have $\gamma=1$. Hence by (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$
\mu-a_{L}=\frac{2}{q_{L}}\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mu-a_{L}-2=\frac{2}{q_{L}}\left(2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}-r_{L}\right),
$$

respectively. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu=a_{L} & \Longleftrightarrow r_{L}=2 q_{L-1} \\
\mu=a_{L}+2 & \Longleftrightarrow r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Assume $q_{L}>1, a_{L} \geq 2$ and $\mu=a_{L}$. Since $a_{L} \geq 2$ and $L \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}-2\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)=a_{L} q_{L}-2 q_{L-1}+2 r_{L} \geq 2\left(q_{L}-q_{L-1}+r_{L}\right)>0, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}+2\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)=a_{L} q_{L}-2 r_{L}+6 q_{L-1} \geq 2\left(q_{L}-r_{L}+3 q_{L-1}\right)>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. Since $q_{L}>1$, we have $\gamma>1$. Then by (3.3) and (3.6) we get $\mu>a_{L}$, which contradicts the assumption $\mu=a_{L}$. Hence we have $u_{1}=u_{2}$. Then we have $\gamma=w$. If $w \geq 2$, then we also have $\gamma>1$ and hence $\mu>a_{L}$. If $w=0$, then by (3.3) and (3.5) we have $\mu<a_{L}$. Therefore, it must hold that $w=1$. Then by (1) of this proposition, we have $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$. Hence by Lemma 3.1 (2), we have $z=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}$. From this together with Lemma 3.1 (3), we have

$$
u_{2} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} r_{L+1}+z=(-1)^{L}\left(-r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1 (1), we have

$$
u_{1} \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) .
$$

Then by $u_{1}=u_{2}$, we obtain $2 r_{L+1} \equiv 0\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. Since $r_{L}$ is even and $q_{L} r_{L-1}-q_{L-1} r_{L}=$ $(-1)^{L-1}, q_{L}$ is odd. This implies to $q_{L} \mid r_{L+1}$.
(3) Assume $q_{L}>1, a_{L} \geq 4$ and $\mu=a_{L}+2$. Since $a_{L} \geq 4$ and $L \geq 2$, we have (3.7)
$q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}-2\left(2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}-r_{L}\right)=\left(a_{L}+2\right) q_{L}-2 q_{L-1}+2 r_{L} \geq 2\left(q_{L}-q_{L-1}+r_{L}\right)>0$, (3.8)
$q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}+2\left(2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}-r_{L}\right)=\left(a_{L}-2\right) q_{L}-2 r_{L}+6 q_{L-1} \geq 2\left(q_{L}-r_{L}+3 q_{L-1}\right)>0$.
Suppose that $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. Since $q_{L}>1$, we have $\gamma>1$. Then by (3.4) and (3.8) we get $\mu>a_{L}+2$, which contradicts the assumption $\mu=a_{L}+2$. Hence we have $u_{1}=u_{2}$. Then we have $\gamma=w$. If $w \geq 2$, then we also have $\gamma>1$ and hence $\mu>a_{L}+2$. If $w=0$, then by (3.4) and (3.7) we have $\mu<a_{L}+2$. Therefore, it must hold that $w=1$. Then by (1) of this proposition, we have $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$. Hence by Lemma 3.1 (2), we have $z=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)$. From this together with Lemma 3.1 (3), we have

$$
u_{2} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} r_{L+1}+z=(-1)^{L}\left(-r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1 (1), we have

$$
u_{1} \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) .
$$

Then by $u_{1}=u_{2}$, we obtain $2 r_{L+1}+r_{L} \equiv 0\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. Finally, since $(-1)^{L-1}=q_{L} r_{L-1}-q_{L-1} r_{L}=q_{L} r_{L-1}-q_{L-1}\left(2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}\right)=q_{L}\left(r_{L-1}+q_{L-1}\right)-2 q_{L-1}^{2}$, we see that $q_{L}$ is odd. The proof is completed.

From now on, we assume $L \geq 3$, because there is no sequence of ELE type with length 2, as we have seen in Remark 1.3.

Proposition 3.2. Under the above setting, we assume that $u_{1}=u_{2}$. Then the following hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{0} & =\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{q_{L} r_{L}^{2}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)-(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+w+1\right\},  \tag{3.9}\\
f\left(s_{0}\right) & =\frac{w+1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{(w+1)\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)^{2}-(-1)^{L} 4\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We recall $Q=q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}, R=r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}$. By the assumption $u_{1}=u_{2}$, we have $\delta=0$. Then by (2.17), (2.12), (1.4) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{L}^{2} s_{0} & =q_{L}\left(r_{L} C-(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}-z\right) \\
& =q_{L} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L} q_{L} r_{L-1}-\left\{(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L}-q_{L-1}\right) r_{L}-w\right\} \\
& =q_{L} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L}\left(q_{L} r_{L-1}+r_{L}^{2}-q_{L-1} r_{L}\right)+w \\
& =q_{L} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1}\right)+w \\
& =q_{L} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+1+w .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives (3.9).
By [10, Proposition], we have $f(x)=f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x):=q_{L}^{2} x-r_{L}^{2}\left(q_{L} R-(-1)^{L}\right) \\
& f_{2}(x):=Q^{2} x-R^{2}\left(Q r_{L}+(-1)^{L}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (3.9) and (2.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(s_{0}\right) & =q_{L} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+w+1-r_{L}^{2}\left(q_{L} R-(-1)^{L}\right) \\
& =w+1, \\
f_{2}\left(s_{0}\right) & =Q^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left(q_{L} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+w+1\right)-R^{2}\left(Q r_{L}+(-1)^{L}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{q_{L} Q^{2} r_{L}^{2} R-(-1)^{L} Q^{2} r_{L}^{2}+(w+1) Q^{2}-q_{L}^{2} Q r_{L} R^{2}-(-1)^{L} q_{L}^{2} R^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{(w+1) Q^{2}+q_{L} Q r_{L} R\left(Q r_{L}-q_{L} R\right)-(-1)^{L}\left(Q^{2} r_{L}^{2}+q_{L}^{2} R^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{(w+1) Q^{2}+q_{L} Q r_{L} R \cdot 2(-1)^{L}-(-1)^{L}\left(Q^{2} r_{L}^{2}+q_{L}^{2} R^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{(w+1) Q^{2}-(-1)^{L}\left(Q r_{L}-q_{L} R\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{(w+1) Q^{2}-(-1)^{L} 4\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain (3.10).
Proof of Theorem 2. It follows from $L \geq 3$ that $q_{L}>1$. Moreover we have $a_{L} \geq 2$ (resp. $a_{L} \geq 4$ ) by the definition of ELE type if $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is of ELE 1 type (resp. ELE 2 type). Then by Proposition 3.1 (2), (3), we have

$$
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L}, \quad u_{1}=u_{2}, \quad w=1 \quad 2 \nmid q_{L}, \quad q_{L} \mid\left(2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}\right) .
$$

(1) When $a_{L}$ is even, we see from [12, Lemma 2.2 (ii)] that Case (II) occurs for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L}$. When $a_{L}$ is odd, since $q_{L}$ is also odd, we see from [12, Lemma 2.2 (iii)] that Case (I) occurs for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L}$. Furthermore, since $u_{1}=u_{2}, w=1$, it follows from (3.9) that

$$
s_{0}=\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{q_{L} r_{L}^{2}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)-(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+2\right\}
$$

Since $q_{L}$ is odd, we have

$$
s_{0} \equiv r_{L}\left(r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}\right)+r_{L}=r_{L}\left(a_{L} r_{L}+2 r_{L-1}\right)+r_{L} \equiv a_{L} r_{L}+r_{L}(\bmod 2) .
$$

Thus $s_{0}$ is even if $a_{L}$ is odd. Therefore only "Case (I) and $s_{0}$ even" or Case (II) occurs for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L}$ with our assumptions. By Theorem 0.1 , therefore, there is no positive integer $d$, $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, with period $2 L$ of minimal type for $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ so that the primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ is such $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$.
(2) We recall

$$
\mu=a_{L}+2 \varepsilon
$$

by the definition of ELE type. By (2.16), it holds that $g\left(s_{0}\right)=\mu+a_{L}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(s_{0}\right)=2 a_{L}+2 \varepsilon \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{g\left(s_{0}\right)}{2}=a_{L}+\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $w=1,2 \nmid q_{L}, f\left(s_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and (3.10) that $f\left(s_{0}\right)$ is divisible by 4 , that is,

$$
d=\frac{f\left(s_{0}\right)}{4} \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

(This also follows from the assertion (1) and Theorem 0.1.) By $w=1$ and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{f\left(s_{0}\right)}{4}=\frac{1}{q_{L}^{2}}\left\{\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)^{2}-(-1)^{L} 2\right\} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q_{L}$ is odd, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & \equiv\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)^{2}-(-1)^{L} 2=\left(a_{L} q_{L}+2 q_{L-1}\right)^{2}-(-1)^{L} 2 \\
& \equiv a_{L}^{2}+2 \equiv \begin{cases}2(\bmod 4) & \text { if } a_{L} \text { is even, } \\
3(\bmod 4) & \text { if } a_{L} \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by recalling $Q=q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{L} q_{L}+\varepsilon q_{L}=\left(q_{L+1}-q_{L-1}\right)+\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right)=Q-r_{L} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{L}^{2}\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+q_{L}\left(2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}\right) & =\left(a_{L} q_{L}+\varepsilon q_{L}\right)^{2}+2 q_{L} r_{L+1}+\varepsilon q_{L} r_{L} \\
& =\left(Q-r_{L}\right)^{2}+2 q_{L} r_{L+1}+\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right) r_{L} \\
& =Q^{2}-2 Q r_{L}+2 q_{L} r_{L+1}+2 q_{L-1} r_{L} \\
& =Q^{2}-2 q_{L+1} r_{L}+2 q_{L} r_{L+1} \\
& =Q^{2}-2\left(q_{L+1} r_{L}-q_{L} r_{L+1}\right) \\
& =Q^{2}-(-1)^{L} 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this together with (3.13), we have

$$
d=\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+\frac{2 r_{L+1}+\varepsilon r_{L}}{q_{L}} .
$$

Now we see from (3.11) that the assumption (0.2) of Theorem 0.1 holds:

$$
g\left(s_{0}\right)=2 a_{L}+2 \varepsilon>a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L} .
$$

By Theorem 0.1, therefore, we get the desired simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$.
(3) For brevity, we put $\ell:=2 L$. From the above integer $a_{0}=a_{L}+\varepsilon$ and the symmetric sequence of $\ell-1$ positive integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}$, we define nonnegative integers $q_{n}, r_{n}, p_{n}(0 \leq n \leq \ell)$ by using the recurrence equations (0.1) and (2.1).

Let $1 \leq n \leq 2 L-1$. By (2.9) and (2.3), we have

$$
(-1)^{n} Q_{n}=p_{n}^{2}-d q_{n}^{2}=\left(a_{0}^{2}-d\right) q_{n}^{2}+2 a_{0} q_{n} r_{n}+r_{n}^{2}
$$

and hence by (2) of this theorem, we obtain (1.10).
Substituting $n=L$ into (1.10) and using $\varepsilon q_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}$ and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{L} Q_{L} & =-2 q_{L} r_{L+1}-\varepsilon q_{L} r_{L}+2\left(a_{L} r_{L}\right) q_{L}+2 \varepsilon q_{L} r_{L}+r_{L}^{2} \\
& =-2 q_{L} r_{L+1}+\varepsilon q_{L} r_{L}+2\left(r_{L+1}-r_{L-1}\right) q_{L}+r_{L}^{2} \\
& =\left(\varepsilon q_{L}\right) r_{L}-2 q_{L} r_{L-1}+r_{L}^{2} \\
& =\left(2 q_{L-1}-r_{L}\right) r_{L}-2 q_{L} r_{L-1}+r_{L}^{2} \\
& =2\left(q_{L-1} r_{L}-q_{L} r_{L-1}\right) \\
& =-(-1)^{L-1} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get $Q_{L}=2$. Similarly, $Q_{L-1}$ and $Q_{1}$ can be calculated.
Next we consider the Yokoi invariant. Since $d \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$, it follows from [13, Proposition 3.3] that the Yokoi invariant $m_{d}$ of $d$ is

$$
m_{d}=\left[\frac{2 q_{\ell}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}\right]
$$

Now by using (2.3), (3.12) and $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{L} & =a_{0} q_{L}+r_{L}=\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right) q_{L}+2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L}=a_{L} q_{L}+2 q_{L-1}=q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}=Q \\
p_{L-1} & =a_{0} q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}=\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right) q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By substituting them into (2.13) and by using (3.14) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\ell} & =Q q_{L+1}+\left\{\left(a_{L}+\varepsilon\right) q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right\} q_{L} \\
& =Q q_{L+1}+\left(Q-r_{L}\right) q_{L-1}+q_{L} r_{L-1} \\
& =Q\left(q_{L+1}+q_{L-1}\right)+q_{L} r_{L-1}-q_{L-1} r_{L} \\
& =Q^{2}-(-1)^{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this together with (2.10), we have

$$
\frac{2 q_{\ell}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}=\frac{2 Q^{2} q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}=\frac{2\left(p_{\ell}+(-1)^{L}\right) q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}=2 q_{L}^{2}+\frac{(-1)^{L} 2 q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}
$$

Here, we note that $a_{L} \geq 2$. Then we have $q_{L+1}=a_{L} q_{L}+q_{L-1}>2 q_{L}$, and hence $p_{\ell}>2 q_{L}^{2}$. Then we get inequalities

$$
0<\frac{2 q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}<1 \text { and } 0<1-\frac{2 q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}<1
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
m_{d}=\left[\frac{2 q_{\ell}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}\right]= \begin{cases}{\left[2 q_{L}^{2}+\frac{2 q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}\right]=2 q_{L}^{2}} & \text { if } L \text { is even } \\ {\left[2 q_{L}^{2}-1+\left(1-\frac{2 q_{L}^{2}}{p_{\ell}}\right)\right]=2 q_{L}^{2}-1} & \text { if } L \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2 is now proved.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

As we have stated in Remark 1.2, the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) was shown. In this section, we will prove (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv), (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii), and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).
4.1. Proof of the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)

Proof of the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). We easily see that if the simple continued fraction expansions of $\sqrt{d}$ with even period $2 L$ satisfies $a_{0} \leq 3$, that is, $d \leq 15$, then $L \leq 2$. Hence, when $L \geq 3$, we have $a_{0} \geq 4$. Assume that $a_{L}=a_{0}$, or $a_{L}=a_{0}-1$. Then, $a_{L} \geq a_{0}-1$. Now it follows from (2.4) that $P_{L+1}=P_{L}$. Then by (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 P_{L}=a_{L} Q_{L} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this together with (2.7) and $a_{0} \geq 4$, we have

$$
Q_{L}=\frac{2 P_{L}}{a_{L}} \leq \frac{2 a_{0}}{a_{L}} \leq \frac{2 a_{0}}{a_{0}-1}=2+\frac{2}{a_{0}-1}<3 .
$$

On the other hand, we have $Q_{L}>1$ from (2.8). Therefore, $Q_{L}=2$. The proof is completed.

### 4.2. Proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv)

Proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer such that the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is $\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}}\right.$, $\left.\overline{a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ with even period $2 L(\geq 6)$. Assume that $d$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$ and the primary symmetric part $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is of ELE type. Then, since the inequality (0.2) holds by Theorem 0.1, the inequality (1.8) or (1.9) of Theorem 2 holds, because these conditions are equivalent to each other as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2. Therefore we see that $d$ is obtained as in Theorem 2 (2). Hence the assertion (iv) follows. (When (i) holds, the assertion (iii) also follows from Theorem 2 (3).)
4.3. Proof of the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii)

The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from Proposition 4.1.
Proposition 4.1. Let $L \geq 3$. Then it is a sufficient and necessary condition for a sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ to be of $E L E_{1}$ type (resp. $E L E_{2}$ type) that three conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}, a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) \text { and } a_{L} \geq 2 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (resp. } \left.r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}, a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) \text { and } a_{L} \geq 4\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Proof. It follows from $L \geq 3$ that $q_{L}>1$. Suppose that (4.2) (resp. (4.3)) holds. Then by Lemma 3.1 (2), we have $w=1$ and $z=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}$ (resp. $z=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-r_{L}\right) \equiv$ $\left.(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-2 q_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)\right)$, and by (3.2), we have

$$
a_{L} r_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L} r_{L-1} \equiv-r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

$\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.a_{L} r_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right) \equiv-q_{L-1}-r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)\right)$.

Then by $r_{L+1}=a_{L} r_{L}+r_{L-1}$ and Lemma 3.1 (1), (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} & \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(a_{L} r_{L}+2 r_{L-1}\right) \equiv(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right), \\
u_{2} & \equiv(-1)^{L-1}\left(a_{L} r_{L}+r_{L-1}\right)+z \equiv z=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right) \\
\left(\operatorname{resp} . u_{1}\right. & \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(a_{L} r_{L}+2 r_{L-1}\right) \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(-q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right), \\
u_{2} & \left.\equiv(-1)^{L-1}\left(a_{L} r_{L}+r_{L-1}\right)+z \equiv(-1)^{L} q_{L-1}+z \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(-q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $u_{1} \equiv u_{2}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$ and so $u_{1}=u_{2}$. Hence by $u_{1}=u_{2}, w=1, r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$ (resp. $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$ ) and Proposition 3.1 (1), we have $\mu=a_{L}$ (resp. $\mu=a_{L}+2$ ), that is, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is of ELE 1 type (resp. ELE 2 type).

Conversely, we assume that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{L}$ is of ELE $_{1}$ type (resp. ELE $_{2}$ type) and put $\varepsilon:=0$ (resp. $\varepsilon:=1$ ). Then by Proposition 3.1 (2), (3), we have $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L}$. Moreover, $u_{1}=u_{2}, 2 \nmid q_{L}$ and $z=(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-\varepsilon r_{L}\right)$ hold. It follows from $z=$ $(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-\varepsilon r_{L}\right)$ and Lemma 3.1 (3) that

$$
u_{2} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} r_{L+1}+(-1)^{L}\left(r_{L-1}-\varepsilon r_{L}\right)=(-1)^{L}\left(-r_{L+1}+r_{L-1}-\varepsilon r_{L}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 (1) and $u_{1}=u_{2}$, we have $2 r_{L+1} \equiv-\varepsilon r_{L}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. Since $r_{L}=$ $2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L}$ and $2 \nmid q_{L}$, we have $r_{L+1} \equiv-\varepsilon q_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. Then by $r_{L+1}=a_{L} r_{L}+r_{L-1}$, we have $a_{L} r_{L} \equiv-\varepsilon q_{L-1}-r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. By (3.2), therefore, we obtain

$$
a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L} a_{L} q_{L-1} r_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(\varepsilon q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

as desired. The inequality $a_{L} \geq 2$ (resp. $a_{L} \geq 4$ ) follows from the definition of ELE type.

### 4.4. Proof of the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

The argument of this subsection depends heavily on that of the proof of Golubeva [4, Theorem 1], in which Golubeva [3, Theorem 1] is utilized. Since we can prove that Theorem 0.1 leads to [3, Theorem 1], we use Theorem 0.1 in behalf of [3, Theorem 1].

Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer such that the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is $\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ with even period $2 L(\geq 4)$. Then it follows from Theorem 0.1 that Case (I) or Case (II) occurs for this symmetric part and $d$ can be written uniquely as $d=f(s) / 4$ and $a_{0}=g(s) / 2$ with some integer $s \geq s_{0}$. Furthermore, when Case (I) occurs, $s$ must be even.

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [3, Theorem 1, Lemma 4]). Under the above setting, we have the following relations:
(1) $Q_{L}=q_{L}^{2} s-q_{L} R r_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}$.
(2) $Q_{L} q_{L+1} q_{L-1}-Q_{L-1} q_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L}$.

Proof. By (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}^{2}-d q_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L} Q_{L} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) It follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}=a_{0} q_{L}+r_{L}=\frac{g(s)}{2} \cdot q_{L}+r_{L} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we see from the definition of $f(x)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{f(s)}{4}=\frac{g(s)^{2}}{4}+h(s) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.5) and (4.6) into (4.4), we get

$$
\left(g(s) r_{L}-h(s) q_{L}\right) q_{L}+r_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L} Q_{L}
$$

By using (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.15), it follows from the definition of $g(x), h(x)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(s) r_{L}-h(s) q_{L} & =(A s-B C) r_{L}-\left(B s-C^{2}\right) q_{L} \\
& =\left(A r_{L}-B q_{L}\right) s-\left(B r_{L}-C q_{L}\right) C \\
& =\left(Q q_{L} r_{L}-Q q_{L} r_{L}+(-1)^{L} q_{L}\right) s-\left(Q r_{L}^{2}-(-1)^{L} r_{L}-R q_{L} r_{L}\right) R r_{L} \\
& =(-1)^{L} q_{L} s-\left(\left(Q r_{L}-q_{L} R\right) r_{L}-(-1)^{L} r_{L}\right) R r_{L} \\
& =(-1)^{L} q_{L} s-\left((-1)^{L} \cdot 2 r_{L}-(-1)^{L} r_{L}\right) R r_{L} \\
& =(-1)^{L} q_{L} s-(-1)^{L} R r_{L}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
(-1)^{L} q_{L}^{2} s-(-1)^{L} q_{L} R r_{L}^{2}+r_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L} Q_{L}
$$

which gives the desired equation.
(2) By (2.6) and (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=P_{L}^{2}+Q_{L-1} Q_{L}=\left(\frac{a_{L} Q_{L}}{2}\right)^{2}+Q_{L-1} Q_{L} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.14) and (4.7) into (4.4), we get

$$
Q_{L}\left(Q^{2}-a_{L}^{2} q_{L}^{2}\right)-4 Q_{L-1} q_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L} 4 .
$$

Since

$$
Q^{2}-a_{L}^{2} q_{L}^{2}=\left(a_{L} q_{L}+2 q_{L-1}\right)^{2}-a_{L}^{2} q_{L}^{2}=4\left(a_{L} q_{L}+q_{L-1}\right) q_{L-1}=4 q_{L+1} q_{L-1}
$$

we obtain $Q_{L} q_{L+1} q_{L-1}-Q_{L-1} q_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L}$. The lemma is proved.
Proposition 4.2 ([4, pp.1279-1280]). Under the above setting, assume that $Q_{L}=$ 2. Then $2 \nmid q_{L}$ and either $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$ or $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$ hold. Furthermore we have the following.
(1) If $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$, then $a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$.
(2) If $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$, then $a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$.

Proof. Put $\alpha:=(-1)^{L-1}\left(q_{L} s-R r_{L}^{2}\right)$. Then by the assumption and Lemma 4.1 (1), we have

$$
2=Q_{L}=q_{L}^{2} s-q_{L} R r_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L-1}\left(q_{L} \alpha-r_{L}^{2}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L} \alpha-r_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L-1} 2 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we assume $2 \mid q_{L}$. Then by (4.8), $r_{L}$ is even. This is a contradiction to $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{L}, r_{L}\right)=$ 1. Hence we have $2 \nmid q_{L}$.

Now it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L} \cdot 2 r_{L-1}-r_{L} \cdot 2 q_{L-1}=(-1)^{L-1} 2 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two equations (4.8) and (4.9) yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L}\left(\alpha-2 r_{L-1}\right)=r_{L}\left(r_{L}-2 q_{L-1}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{L}, r_{L}\right)=1$, there is some integer $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{L}-2 q_{L-1}=-\varepsilon q_{L} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we assume $\varepsilon \leq-1$. Then (4.11) implies that

$$
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L} \geq 2 q_{L-1}+q_{L}>q_{L}
$$

because of $q_{L-1}>0$ when $L \geq 2$. This contradicts that $r_{L} \leq q_{L}$. Next we assume $\varepsilon \geq 2$.
Then (4.11) implies that

$$
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L} \leq 2 q_{L-1}-2 q_{L} .
$$

Since it follows from $L \geq 2$ that $0<r_{L}$, we have $q_{L}<q_{L-1}$ and this is a contradiction. Thus we have $\varepsilon=0$ or 1 , so, $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$ or $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$ holds.
(1) Assume that $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$. Then by the assumption and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{L} q_{L+1} q_{L-1} & =2 q_{L+1} q_{L-1}=q_{L+1} r_{L} \\
& =a_{L} q_{L} r_{L}+q_{L-1} r_{L}=a_{L} q_{L} r_{L}+q_{L} r_{L-1}+(-1)^{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into the equation in Lemma 4.1 (2), we get

$$
a_{L} r_{L}-Q_{L-1} q_{L}=-r_{L-1}
$$

and hence

$$
r_{L}\left((-1)^{L-1} a_{L}\right)-q_{L}\left((-1)^{L-1} Q_{L-1}\right)=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}
$$

On the other hand, by (3.1), we have

$$
r_{L}\left(q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\right)-q_{L}\left(r_{L-1}^{2}\right)=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1} .
$$

These two equations yield that

$$
r_{L}\left((-1)^{L-1} a_{L}-q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\right)=q_{L}\left((-1)^{L-1} Q_{L-1}-r_{L-1}^{2}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{L}, r_{L}\right)=1$, we obtain $a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$.
(2) Assume that $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$. Then by the assumption and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{L} q_{L+1} q_{L-1} & =2 q_{L+1} q_{L-1}=q_{L+1}\left(q_{L}+r_{L}\right) \\
& =q_{L+1} q_{L}+q_{L+1} r_{L}=q_{L+1} q_{L}+q_{L} r_{L+1}+(-1)^{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into the equation in Lemma 4.1 (2), we get

$$
q_{L+1}+r_{L+1}-Q_{L-1} q_{L}=0
$$

Note that $q_{L+1}=a_{L} q_{L}+q_{L-1}$ and $r_{L+1}=a_{L} r_{L}+r_{L-1}=2 a_{L} q_{L-1}-a_{L} q_{L}+r_{L-1}$. Then we have

$$
\left(2 a_{L}+1\right) q_{L-1}-Q_{L-1} q_{L}=-r_{L-1}
$$

and hence

$$
q_{L-1}\left\{(-1)^{L-1}\left(2 a_{L}+1\right)\right\}-q_{L}\left((-1)^{L-1} Q_{L-1}\right)=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1}
$$

On the other hand, by (3.1), we have

$$
q_{L-1}\left(r_{L} r_{L-1}\right)-q_{L}\left(r_{L-1}^{2}\right)=(-1)^{L} r_{L-1} .
$$

These two equations yield that

$$
q_{L-1}\left\{(-1)^{L-1}\left(2 a_{L}+1\right)-r_{L} r_{L-1}\right\}=q_{L}\left((-1)^{L-1} Q_{L-1}-r_{L-1}^{2}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{L-1}, q_{L}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
2 a_{L}+1 \equiv(-1)^{L-1} r_{L} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

Now, $r_{L} \equiv 2 q_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$ and $q_{L-1} r_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$ hold by (3.1). Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{L-1} 2 q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right) & \equiv(-1)^{L-1} r_{L}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right) \\
& =-1+(-1)^{L-1} r_{L} r_{L-1} \\
& \equiv 2 a_{L}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $2 \nmid q_{L}$, we obtain $a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Proof of the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that $L \geq 3, Q_{L}=2$ and $d \neq 19$.
First we consider the case $d<25$. In this case, we easily see that $L \geq 3$ and $Q_{L}=2$ hold only for $d=22$. Then, $\sqrt{22}=[4, \overline{1,2,4,2,1,8}], L=3, a_{L}=4 \geq 2, Q_{L}=2$ and we have the following table:

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{n}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| $r_{n}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |

Therefore, $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}=2$ and $a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)$ hold. Moreover $d=22$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$ because of $s=s_{0}=14$. Thus the assertion (ii) holds for $d=22$.

Next we assume $d \geq 25$. Then we see from the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) of Theorem 1 that

$$
a_{L}=a_{0} \text { or } a_{L}=a_{0}-1,
$$

and hence

$$
a_{L} \geq a_{0}-1=[\sqrt{d}]-1 \geq 5-1=4
$$

It follows from the assumption $Q_{L}=2$ that either

$$
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}, a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1} r_{L-1}\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

or

$$
r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}, a_{L} \equiv(-1)^{L-1} q_{L-1}\left(q_{L-1}+r_{L-1}\right)\left(\bmod q_{L}\right)
$$

holds by Proposition 4.2. Then by Lemma 3.1 (2), we have $w=1$. By (3.9) in Proposition 3.2, therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L}^{2} s_{0}=q_{L} \operatorname{Rr}_{L}^{2}-(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+2 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we see from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$
\alpha=2 r_{L-1}-\varepsilon r_{L} .
$$

Then by the definition of $\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{L} s=\operatorname{Rr}_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1} \alpha=\operatorname{Rr}_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1}\left(2 r_{L-1}-\varepsilon r_{L}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.13), (3.1) and (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{L}^{2} s & =q_{L} R r_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1} 2 q_{L} r_{L-1}-(-1)^{L-1} \varepsilon q_{L} r_{L} \\
& =q_{L} \operatorname{Rr}_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1} 2\left(q_{L-1} r_{L}+(-1)^{L-1}\right)-(-1)^{L-1} \varepsilon q_{L} r_{L} \\
& =q_{L} R r_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1} 2 q_{L-1} r_{L}+2-(-1)^{L-1} \varepsilon q_{L} r_{L} \\
& =q_{L}^{2} s_{0}+(-1)^{L} r_{L}^{2}+(-1)^{L-1} 2 q_{L-1} r_{L}-(-1)^{L-1} \varepsilon q_{L} r_{L} \\
& =q_{L}^{2} s_{0}+(-1)^{L} r_{L}\left(r_{L}-2 q_{L-1}+\varepsilon q_{L}\right) \\
& =q_{L}^{2} s_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence we obtain $s=s_{0}$. Therefore $d$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{d}$. Thus the proof is completely proved.

REMARK 4.1. Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer such that the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ is $\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ with even period $2 L(\geq 4)$. If we assume $Q_{L}=2$ then, by Proposition 4.2, we have $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$ (resp. $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$ ). Hence by putting $\varepsilon:=0$ (resp. $\varepsilon:=1$ ), $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-\varepsilon q_{L}$ holds. Then we claim that $a_{L}=a_{0}-\varepsilon$ holds. As $Q_{L}=2$, we see by Proposition 4.2 that $2 \nmid q_{L}$. Since

$$
p_{L}^{2}-d q_{L}^{2}=(-1)^{L} Q_{L} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
$$

from (2.9), we have $p_{L} \equiv d(\bmod 2)$. Since $p_{L}=a_{0} q_{L}+r_{L}$ from (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \equiv a_{0}+r_{L}(\bmod 2) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $d=a_{L}^{2}+2 Q_{L-1}$ from (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \equiv a_{L}(\bmod 2) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.11) and $2 \nmid q_{L}$, we have

$$
r_{L} \equiv-\varepsilon(\bmod 2)
$$

From this, together with (4.14) and (4.15), we obtain $a_{L} \equiv a_{0}-\varepsilon(\bmod 2)$. On the other hand, it follows from the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) of Theorem 1 that

$$
a_{L}=a_{0} \text { or } a_{L}=a_{0}-1 .
$$

Since $\varepsilon=0$ or 1 , the equality $a_{L}=a_{0}-\varepsilon$ must hold. This proves our claim.

## 5. Proof of Corollary 1

Let $p$ be a prime number with $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $\ell$ the minimal period of simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{p}$. Then it is known that $\ell$ is even, which is shown by using $(2.9)_{n=\ell}$. We write $\ell=2 L$. First, we claim that $Q_{L}=2$. Since this is true for $p=3$, we may assume $p \geq 4$. By (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 p=Q_{L}\left(a_{L}^{2} Q_{L}+4 Q_{L-1}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $Q_{L} \in\{1,2,4, p, 2 p, 4 p\}$. Since $1<Q_{L}<2 \sqrt{p}$ by (2.7), (2.8) and $p \geq 4$, it must hold that $Q_{L}=2$ or 4 . If $Q_{L}=4$, then $p=4 a_{L}^{2}+4 Q_{L-1}$ so that $4 \mid p$. This contradicts that $p$ is a prime number. Hence we obtain $Q_{L}=2$ (cf. [3, p.2071]). Thus our claim is true.

Assume that $\ell \geq 6$. Since $Q_{L}=2$, the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 1 and Remark 1.1 yield that $p$ is of minimal type for $\sqrt{p}$. Hence, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is of minimal type.

Assume that $\ell \leq 4$. In the case $\ell=2, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is not of minimal type by [11, Example 3.5]. So we consider the case $\ell=4$ and write the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{p}$ by

$$
\sqrt{p}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right] .
$$

From the symmetric part $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{1}$, we calculate linear polynomials $g(x), h(x)$, the quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ and the integer $s_{0}$ by using the following table:

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{n}$ | 0 | 1 | $a_{1}$ | $a_{1} a_{2}+1$ | $a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+2 a_{1}$ |
| $r_{n}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $a_{2}$ | $a_{1} a_{2}+1$ |

By Theorem 0.1, there exists uniquely an integer $s$ with $s \geq s_{0}$ such that $p=f(s) / 4$ and $a_{0}=g(s) / 2$. Since $Q_{2}=2$, we see by Proposition 4.2 that either $r_{2}=2 q_{1}$ or $r_{2}=2 q_{1}-q_{2}$ holds. Since $r_{2}=1$, the latter equation must hold, and hence $a_{1}=1$. For brevity, we put $t:=a_{2}$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=(t+2) x-(-1)^{4} t(t+1)=(t+2) x-t(t+1), \\
& h(x)=(t+1) x-(-1)^{4} t^{2}=(t+1) x-t^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the above table. Therefore, on the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t-1) & =(t+2)(t-1)-t(t+1)=-2<0, \\
g(t) & =(t+2) t-t(t+1)=t>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $s_{0}=t$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1 (1), we have

$$
2=Q_{2}=q_{2}^{2} s-q_{2}\left(r_{3}+r_{1}\right) r_{2}^{2}+(-1)^{2} r_{2}^{2}=s-t+1,
$$

and hence $s=t+1$. Thus we obtain $s>s_{0}$, which gives that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is not of minimal type. Corollary 1 is now proved.

REMARK 5.1. We give several remarks on interesting properties of a prime number $p$ with $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
(1) Let $\sqrt{p}=\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L-1}, a_{L}, a_{L-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ be the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{p}$. From the symmetric part, we calculate linear polynomials $g(x), h(x)$, the quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ and the integer $s_{0}$ by using ( 0.1 ). Then by Theorem 0.1 , there exists uniquely an integer $s$ with $s \geq s_{0}$ such that $p=f(s) / 4$ and $a_{0}=g(s) / 2$. Under the situation of Corollary 1 , since $p=a_{L}^{2}+2 Q_{L-1}$ from (5.1), $a_{L}$ is odd. Therefore it follows from [12, Lemma 2.2] and Theorem 0.1 that Case (I) occurs for this symmetric part and $s$ must be even.

We see by $Q_{L}=2$ and Remark 4.1 that $a_{L}=a_{0}-\varepsilon$ holds. Since $a_{L}$ is odd, hence, according to whether $a_{0}$ is even or odd, we have $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}-q_{L}$ or $r_{L}=2 q_{L-1}$.
(2) In the case $\ell=4$, as we have seen in the above proof, $s=t+1$ holds, where $t=a_{2}$ is an odd integer. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(s)=(t+2)(t+1)-t(t+1)=2(t+1), \\
& h(s)=(t+1)^{2}-t^{2}=2 t+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the prime number $p$ such that the minimal period of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{p}$ is 4 , is of the form

$$
p=f(s) / 4=(g(s) / 2)^{2}+h(s)=(t+1)^{2}+2 t+1=t^{2}+4 t+2,
$$

and then

$$
\sqrt{p}=[t+1, \overline{1, t, 1,2 t+2}] .
$$

The form of $p$ is already found in Golubeva [2, Theorem]. (See the set $P_{4}$ in that theorem.)

## 6. Numerical examples

In this section, we explain the source of the notion of "ELE" by using some graphs. Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer with $4 \nmid d$ and put

$$
\omega(d):= \begin{cases}(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2 & \text { if } d \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ \sqrt{d} & \text { if } d \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

For a positive integer $\ell$, we define

$$
\mathrm{CF}_{\ell}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
d \in \mathbb{N} & \begin{array}{l}
d \text { is not a square, } 4 \nmid d, \text { the minimal period of the } \\
\text { simple continued fraction expansion of } \omega(d) \text { is } \ell
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We denote the smallest element of $\mathrm{CF}_{\ell}$ by $d_{\ell}$ and arrange all elements of $\mathrm{CF}_{\ell}$ in order of size:

$$
d_{\ell}=d_{\ell}^{(0)}<d_{\ell}^{(1)}<\cdots<d_{\ell}^{(i)}<\cdots .
$$

Moreover, we denote the simple continued fraction expansion of $\omega\left(d_{\ell}^{(i)}\right)$ by

$$
\omega\left(d_{\ell}^{(i)}\right)=\left[a_{0}^{(i)}, \overline{a_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, a_{\ell}^{(i)}}\right] .
$$

Here we plot $(x, y, z)=\left(i, j, a_{j}^{(i)}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1,1 \leq j \leq[\ell / 2]$ in three dimensional space and connect them for each $i$. The figures (a)-(d) are the cases when $\ell=100,101,102,103$ and $n=100$.

We can observe that the graphs of even cases are characteristic. Our motivation is to investigate why the ends of graphs are extremely large. Dividing the graph in (c) into the case of ELE type and the case of not ELE type (see Figs. (e) and (f)), we expect that "ELE type" has caught the graphs whose ends are extremely large.

Secondly, we have the following numerical results. For $\delta \in\{1,2,3\}$, we define

$$
\mathrm{CF}_{\ell, \delta}:=\left\{d \in \mathrm{CF}_{\ell} \mid d \equiv \delta(\bmod 4)\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathrm{CF}_{\ell}=\mathrm{CF}_{\ell, 1} \cup \mathrm{CF}_{\ell, 2} \cup \mathrm{CF}_{\ell, 3} .
$$



By Theorem 0.1, we can prove $\mathrm{CF}_{\ell, \delta} \neq \emptyset$ for each $\delta$ and $\ell$ (cf. [13, Proposition 4.3]). Here we assume that $\ell$ is even if $\delta=3$. Now we consider the smallest element $d_{\ell}$ of $\mathrm{CF}_{\ell}$ for each positive integer $\ell$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq 69868$. Then the following hold:
(A) $d_{\ell}$ is square-free except for $\ell=1032$. (We have $d_{1032}=366961=7489 \cdot 7^{2}$.)
(B) The class number of (the maximal order in) $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}\right)$ is equal to 1 except for $\ell=7,11,49,225,299,1032$. (For $\ell=7,11,49,225,299$, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}\right)$ is equal to 2 . The class number of the order of conductor 7 in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{1032}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7489})$ is equal to 1. $)$
(C) $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}\right)$ is a real quadratic field with period $\ell$ of minimal type except for $\ell=$ $1,2,3,4,7,1032$.
Thus, as the first step of getting real quadratic fields of class number 1, we will have to know how to get the smallest element $d_{\ell}$, and so we study a real quadratic field of minimal type. Furthermore, we consider the smallest element $d_{\ell}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{CF}_{\ell, 2} \cup \mathrm{CF}_{\ell, 3}$ for each even positive integer $\ell$ with $8 \leq \ell \leq 73478$, because of Theorem 2 (1), (2). Then the following hold without exception:
(D) $d_{\ell}^{\prime}$ is square-free.
(E) The class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}^{\prime}}\right)$ is equal to 1 .
(F) $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}^{\prime}}\right)$ is a real quadratic field with period $\ell$ of minimal type.
(G) The primary symmetric part of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}^{\prime}}\right)$ is of ELE type.
(As we have seen in Remark 1.1, the property (G) does not hold for $d_{6}^{(0)}=19$, but it holds for $d_{6}^{(1)}=22$.) From these, primary symmetric parts of ELE type should be investigated in order to find many real quadratic fields of class number 1 .
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