

On the Quasi-connected Components of a Scheme

by

Tetsuo WATANABE

(Received October 28, 1980)

Let $S = \text{Spec } R$ be an affine scheme and let $X(S)$ be the space of all connected components of S endowed with the quotient topology. Then it is known that $X(S)$ is homeomorphic to the spectre of the ring of idempotents of R .

In this paper we globalize the above fact to arbitrary quasi-compact scheme S . We show that the spectre of the ring of idempotents of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is homeomorphic to the space of all quasi-connected components of S endowed with the quotient topology.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let $B(R)$ be the set of all idempotents of R . Then it is known that $B(R)$ forms a Boolean algebra if we define $e \wedge f = ef$ and $e \vee f = e + f - ef$ for any e, f in $B(R)$ ([3] p. 5). But, if we define products to be the same as in R and sums as $e \oplus f = e + f - 2ef$ (operations in R), it is easy to see that $B(R)$ forms a commutative ring with identity. Indeed, $e + f - 2ef = e(1 - f) + f(1 - e)$ is an idempotent of R and the additive inverse of an idempotent e is e . Let us call this $B(R)$ *the ring of idempotents of R* . The definition of sums given in [2] p. 28 is false, but Proposition II.8 and Proposition II.9 given there without proof are valid by our modified definition of sums. According to [2] Proposition II.9, the set of all connected components of $\text{Spec } R$ with the quotient topology is canonically homeomorphic to $\text{Spec } B(R)$. We globalize this local result to arbitrary quasi-compact schemes.

2. The ring of idempotents of a commutative ring

We recall here basic properties of the ring of idempotents $B(R)$ of R .

(1) Every open-closed set of $\text{Spec } R$ is of the form $V(e) = \{P \in \text{Spec } R \mid P \ni e\}$ for some e in $B(R)$ ([2] Lemma II.2).

(2) Two primes P, Q of R belong to the same connected component of $\text{Spec } R$ if and only if they contain the same idempotents ([2] Proposition II.3).

(3) The space of connected components $X(R)$ of $\text{Spec } R$ endowed with the quotient topology is a profinite space ([2] Corollary II.4).

(4) A set E of idempotents of R is the set of all idempotents in some prime ideal

of R if and only if E is a maximal Boolean ideal ([2] Proposition II.6).

(5) A set E of idempotents of R is a maximal Boolean ideal of R if and only if E is a prime ideal of the ring of idempotents $B(R)$ of R . Moreover, all prime ideals of $B(R)$ are maximal ([2] Proposition II.8 without proof).

Proof. Recall that a set of idempotents E of R is called a maximal Boolean ideal if;

- (a) For every idempotent e of R , either $e \in E$ or $1 - e \in E$, but not both;
- (b) If e and f are idempotents of R , then $ef \in E$ if and only if $e \in E$ or $f \in E$.

If E is a maximal Boolean ideal, then, by (4), there is a prime ideal P of R such that E is the set of all idempotents in P . If $e, f \in E$, then $e \oplus f = e(1 - f) + f(1 - e) \in P$. If $e \in E$ and $f \in B(R)$, then $ef \in P$. So E is an ideal of $B(R)$. Since $e \oplus (1 - e) = 1$, 1 is not in E by (a). From this and (b), E is a prime ideal. If e is not in E , then $1 - e$ is in E and $e^2 = e \equiv 1 \pmod{E}$, which shows that E is maximal. Conversely, if E is a prime ideal of $B(R)$, then E is obviously a maximal Boolean ideal.

(6) Let $i: B(R) \rightarrow R$ be the inclusion map (which is not a ring homomorphism) and let P be a prime ideal of R . Then $P \mapsto i^{-1}(P)$ is a surjective continuous map from $\text{Spec } R$ to $\text{Spec } B(R)$.

Proof. By (4) and (5), $P \mapsto i^{-1}(P)$ is a surjective map from $\text{Spec } R$ to $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Since the inverse image of a closed set $V^*(I) = \{E \in \text{Spec } B(R) \mid E \supset I\}$ of $\text{Spec } B(R)$ is the closed set $V(I) = \{P \in \text{Spec } R \mid P \supset I\}$, where I is an ideal of $B(R)$, this map is continuous.

(7) For any ideals I, J of $B(R)$, following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $V(I) = V(J)$
- (ii) $V^*(I) = V^*(J)$
- (iii) $I = J$

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). This follows from the fact that the canonical map $q: \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Spec } B(R)$ is surjective and $q^{-1}(V^*(I)) = V(I)$. (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This follows from the fact that the radical of I in $B(R)$ is equal to I and the fact that the intersection of all prime ideals in $V^*(I)$ is the radical of I .

(8) The idempotent e of (1) is unique.

Proof. Assume that $V(e) = V(f)$ for some $e, f \in B(R)$. Then $\langle e \rangle = \langle f \rangle$ by (7), where $\langle e \rangle$ is the ideal of $B(R)$ generated by e . So $e = af$ and $f = be$ for some a, b in $B(R)$. Then $ef = af^2 = af = e$. Similarly $ef = f$ and hence $e = f$.

DEFINITION. We say that a family of idempotents $\{f_i\}$ of R is an orthogonal system if $f_i f_j = 0$ for any i, j ($i \neq j$).

Remark that, if $f_i, f_j \in B(R)$ are orthogonal, then $f_i \oplus f_j = f_i + f_j$.

(9) Let e_1, \dots, e_m be idempotents of R and let $\langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle$ be the ideal of $B(R)$ generated by e_1, \dots, e_m . Then there exists an orthogonal system of idempotents f_1, \dots, f_m such that $\langle f_1, \dots, f_i \rangle = \langle e_1, \dots, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Proof. Let $f_1 = e_1$ and $f_i = e_i(1 - e_1)(1 - e_2) \cdots (1 - e_{i-1})$ ($2 \leq i \leq m$). Since $e_i(1 - e_i) = 0$, f_1, \dots, f_m forms an orthogonal system. Using the induction, we may assume that e_1, \dots, e_{i-1} are in $\langle f_1, \dots, f_{i-1} \rangle$. Since $f_i = e_i + a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_{i-1} e_{i-1}$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} \in R$, $e_i = s_1 f_1 + \cdots + s_{i-1} f_{i-1} + f_i$ for some $s_i \in R$. Multiplying f_k ($1 \leq k \leq i-1$), we have $e_i f_k = s_k f_k$. So $e_i = e_i f_1 + \cdots + e_i f_{i-1} + f_i = e_i f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_i f_{i-1} \oplus f_i$ is in $\langle f_1, \dots, f_i \rangle$.

(10) Let f_1, \dots, f_m be an orthogonal system of idempotents of R . If $a_1 f_1 + \cdots + a_m f_m = b_1 f_1 + \cdots + b_m f_m$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m$ in R , then $a_i f_i = b_i f_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Proof. Multiply f_i to both sides.

(11) Let g, g_1, \dots, g_m be idempotents of R and let (g_1, \dots, g_m) be the ideal of R generated by g_1, \dots, g_m . If g is in (g_1, \dots, g_m) , then g is in $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$.

Proof. Let f_1, \dots, f_m be the orthogonal system of idempotents constructed from g_1, \dots, g_m by (9). Then, if g is in (g_1, \dots, g_m) , $g = a_1 f_1 + \cdots + a_m f_m$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R$. Since $g f_i = a_i f_i$, $g = g f_1 + \cdots + g f_m = g(f_1 + \cdots + f_m) = g(f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_m)$. So g is in $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$.

(12) Let $e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_n$ be idempotents of R . Then $(e_1, \dots, e_m) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ if and only if $\langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$.

Proof. This follows directly from (11).

(13) $\text{Spec } B(R)$ is profinite.

Proof. Since $e(1 - e) = 0$ for any $e \in B(R)$, $D^*(e) = \{E \in \text{Spec } B(R) \mid E \not\subseteq e\}$ is equal to $V^*(1 - e)$, which shows that $\text{Spec } B(R)$ has a basis consisting of open-closed sets. If P, Q are distinct prime (i.e. maximal) ideals of $\text{Spec } B(R)$, then there is an element e such that $e \in P$ and $e \notin Q$. Then open-closed set $V^*(e)$ separates P and Q . Hence $\text{Spec } B(R)$ is Hausdorff. Since $\text{Spec } B(R)$ is quasi-compact, we know that $\text{Spec } B(R)$ is profinite by [2] Proposition I.8.

(14) The space of connected components $X(R)$ of $\text{Spec } R$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\text{Spec } B(R)$.

Since each quasi-connected component of an affine scheme is a connected component by Corollary II.4, Proposition I.8 and Proposition I.5 in [2], this is a special case of Theorem 7. So we omit the proof.

3. The quasi-connected components of a scheme

Let S be a scheme and let B be the ring of idempotents of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$.

LEMMA 1. If $e \in B$, then the presheaf given by $U \mapsto \mathcal{O}_S(U)(e|_U)$ is a sheaf, which we denote by $e\mathcal{O}_S$.

Proof. Let U be an open set of S , let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of U , and let s_i be in $(e|_{U_i})\mathcal{O}_S(U_i)$ such that $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for each i, j . Since \mathcal{O}_S is a sheaf, there is an element $s \in \mathcal{O}_S(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ for each i . Since $(e|_{U_i})(s|_{U_i}) = (e|_{U_i})s_i = s_i$, $(e|_U)s = s$. So s is in $(e|_U)\mathcal{O}_S(U)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let e be an idempotent in B and let $V(e)$ be the underlying space of the closed subscheme associated with the sheaf of ideals $e\mathcal{O}_S$. Then $V(e)$ is an open-closed set of S . Moreover, every open-closed set of S is of the form $V(e)$ for some unique $e \in B$.*

Proof. If $e \in B$, then, for each affine open set U of S , $U = V(e|_U) \cup V((1-e)|_U)$ and $V(e|_U) \cap V((1-e)|_U) = \emptyset$. So $V(e) \cup V(1-e) = S$ and $V(e) \cap V(1-e) = \emptyset$, which shows that $V(e)$ is an open-closed set of S . Conversely, let W be an open-closed set of S . If $\{U_i\}$ is an affine open covering, then $U_i \cap W$ is an open-closed set of U_i for each i . By (1), there is an idempotent e_i of $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_S) = A_i$ such that $U_i \cap W = V(e_i)$ in $\text{Spec } A_i = U_i$. For each i, j , the restrictions of e_i and e_j to $\Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_S)$ coincide, since, for each affine open set V in $U_i \cap U_j$, $e_i|_V = e_j|_V$ by (8). So there is a unique idempotent section $e \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $e|_{U_i} = e_i$. Since $V(e) \cap U_i = V(e|_{U_i}) = V(e_i) = U_i \cap W$ for each i , $V(e) = W$.

COROLLARY 3. *A scheme S is connected if and only if $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ has no idempotents except 0 and 1.*

DEFINITION. The intersection of all open-closed sets of a topological space which contain a point P is called the quasi-connected component (or for short, the quasi-component) of P . Let $Q(S)$ be the space of all quasi-components of a scheme S , with the strongest topology making the canonical projection $p: S \rightarrow Q(S)$ continuous.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let P be a point of a quasi-compact scheme and let $E = q(P)$ be the set of idempotents e of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $P \in V(e)$. Then E is a maximal ideal of B .*

Proof. Let $U = \text{Spec } A$ be an affine neighborhood of P and let j_P be the prime ideal of A corresponding to P . If $e \in E$ and $f \in B$, then $j_P \ni e|_U$. So $(ef)|_U = (e|_U)(f|_U) \in j_P$, which means that $P \in V(ef)$, i.e. $ef \in E$. If e, f are in E , then $e|_U \oplus f|_U = e|_U + f|_U - 2(e|_U)(f|_U)$ is in j_P . So $P \in V(e \oplus f)$, i.e. $e \oplus f \in E$. Since 1 is not in E , $E \cong B$. Assume that E is not maximal. Then there is some $f \in B$ such that $f \notin E$ and $\langle E, f \rangle \cong B$. Since P is not in $V(f)$, P is in $V(1-f)$, i.e. $1-f \in E$. So

$$\bigcap_{e \in E} V(e) \subset V(1-f).$$

Since $S = V(f) \cup V(1-f)$ (disjoint union),

$$\left(\bigcap_{e \in E} V(e) \right) \cap V(f) = \emptyset.$$

Let $\{U_i = \text{Spec } A_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be a finite affine covering of S . Then

$$\left(\bigcap_{e \in E} V(e|_{U_i}) \right) \cap V(f|_{U_i}) = \emptyset,$$

that is,

$$V\left(\sum_{e \in E} A_i(e|_{U_i}) + A_i(f|_{U_i})\right) = \emptyset,$$

i.e.

$$A_i(f|_{U_i}) + \sum_{e \in E} A_i(e|_{U_i}) = A_i.$$

So there are finite elements $e_1^{(i)}, \dots, e_{m_i}^{(i)} \in E$ and $a^{(i)}, a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_{m_i}^{(i)} \in A_i$ such that $a^{(i)}(f|_{U_i}) + a_1^{(i)}(e_1^{(i)}|_{U_i}) + \dots + a_{m_i}^{(i)}(e_{m_i}^{(i)}|_{U_i}) = 1$. Let f_1, \dots, f_N be the orthogonal system of idempotents of (9) constructed from all $\{e_j^{(i)}\}$ and f . Since f_1, \dots, f_N are orthogonal and $\langle e_1^{(1)}, \dots, e_{m_n}^{(n)}, f \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_N \rangle, f_1|_{U_i}, \dots, f_N|_{U_i}$ are orthogonal and $\langle e_1^{(1)}|_{U_i}, \dots, e_{m_n}^{(n)}|_{U_i}, f|_{U_i} \rangle = \langle f_1|_{U_i}, \dots, f_N|_{U_i} \rangle$. So there are elements $b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_N^{(i)}$ of A_i for each i such that $b_1^{(i)}(f_1|_{U_i}) + \dots + b_N^{(i)}(f_N|_{U_i}) = 1$. For each i, j and for each affine open set V in $U_i \cap U_j$, $(b_k^{(i)}|_V)(f_k|_V) = (b_k^{(j)}|_V)(f_k|_V)$ ($k=1, \dots, N$) by (10). So for each k , $\{b_k^{(i)}(f_k|_{U_i})\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ patch together to give a global section b_k of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $b_k|_{U_i} = b_k^{(i)}(f_k|_{U_i})$. Since $b_1|_{U_i} + \dots + b_N|_{U_i} = 1$ ($i=1, \dots, n$), $b_1 + \dots + b_N = 1$. As $(b_k f_k)|_{U_i} = b_k|_{U_i}$ ($i=1, \dots, n$), $b_k f_k = b_k$. So $b_1 f_1 + \dots + b_N f_N = 1$. Then, by (12), $\langle E, f \rangle = B$, which is a contradiction. So E is a maximal ideal.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let S be a quasi-compact scheme and let E be a maximal ideal of the ring of idempotents B of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. Then there is a point P in S such that the set of idempotents $q(P)$ of Proposition 4 is equal to E .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\bigcap_{e \in E} V(e) \neq \emptyset,$$

because, if

$$P \in \bigcap_{e \in E} V(e), \quad q(P) = E$$

by Proposition 4. If

$$\bigcap_{e \in E} V(e) = \emptyset,$$

it is easy to see just as in the proof of Proposition 4 that there are elements f_1, \dots, f_N of E such that $\langle f_1, \dots, f_N \rangle = B$, so $E = B$, which is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 6. *Two points P, Q of a quasi-compact scheme S belong to the same quasi-component if and only if $q(P) = q(Q)$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2 and the definition of quasi-components.

THEOREM 7. *The space $Q(S)$ of quasi-components of a quasi-compact scheme S is canonically homeomorphic to $\text{Spec } B$, where B is the ring of idempotents of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4, Proposition 5 and Proposition 6, we have a following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Spec } B \\
 \downarrow p & & \nearrow \psi \\
 Q(S) & &
 \end{array}$$

where p is surjective and continuous, q is surjective and ψ is bijective. For each ideal I of B ,

$$q^{-1}(V^*(I)) = q^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{e \in I} V^*(e)\right) = \bigcap_{e \in I} q^{-1}V^*(e) = \bigcap_{e \in I} V(e),$$

which shows that q is continuous. From the commutativity of the diagram and the definition of the topology of $Q(S)$, it follows that ψ is continuous. Since $Q(S)$ and $\text{Spec } B$ are quasi-compact and $\text{Spec } B$ is Hausdorff by (13), ψ is a homeomorphism.

Bibliography

- [1] GROTHENDIECK, A. and DIEUDONNÉ, J.; *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, IHES 4, 1960.
- [2] MAGID, A. R.; *The Separable Galois Theory of Commutative Rings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
- [3] PIERCE, R. S.; Modules over commutative regular rings, *Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs*, No. 70 (1967).

Department of Mathematics
The National Defense Academy
Yokosuka, Japan