

Patenting Strategies and Technology Control of Multinationals

—Focusing on the Case of Thailand and the Philippines—

HAYASHI, Takabumi

1. Abstract

The ASEAN region has attracted a huge volume of foreign direct investment from Japan and other countries particularly since 1988. The paper examines the patenting strategies and technology control by Multinationals, focusing on the case of Thailand and the Philippines.

Firstly, technology control by the patenting strategy of multinational companies is examined. Through the examination, the paper aims to clarify the negative side of intellectual property strategies by foreign multinationals. It analyses, in particular, the case of Thailand where foreign patent application has increased dramatically as industrialisation proceeds.

Secondly, it analyses that trade-mark plays an important role for foreign multinationals as their intellectual property right strategies, especially in the developing countries.

Finally, after examining the relationship between recouping period of R&D expenditures and the technology life-cycle of the Japanese firms, the paper indicates that payment for imported technology in Thailand and the Philippines amounts to more than 100 percent of their R&D expenditures, while the ratio in Japan is about 7 percent. It concludes finally that an industrialisation process is also the ones that they have been globally integrated into the production, trade and investment processes of the multinationals.

2. Technology Control by Patenting Strategies

The constant development and introduction of new technologies into the production process ensure new products, greater productivity, and economic growth. In this sense,

Table 1 Country Ranking by the Number of Patents Registered
(External Applications Only in 1990)

Ranking	Country	No. of Patents	Share	Cumulative
1	US	71,136	24.2%	
2	W. Germany	57,123	19.4	
3	Japan	53,890	18.3	61.9%
4	France	25,197	8.6	
5	UK	17,862	6.1	76.6
6	Switzerland	12,932	4.4	
7	Italy	9,736	3.3	84.3
8	Netherland	9,348	3.2	
9	Sweden	6,599	2.3	89.8
10	Canada	3,947	1.3	
11	Austria	3,692	1.3	92.6
12	Other Countries	22,278	7.4	
	TOTAL	293,740	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Each number does not include domestic patents.

Source: Compiled from *Industrial Property Statistics 1990* (WIPO, 1992)

technological development through research and development (R&D) and technology transfer is indispensable in every country, especially in the developing countries. However, almost all new technologies are developed in the developed countries, and these newly invented (and developed) technologies are under patent (or knowhow) control by a handful of countries.

The ownership of patent inventor gives a monopoly of use, manufacture, sale and import of a patented product. Thus, once registered as patent rights, the critical technologies come under the control of the inventor.

Therefore, inasmuch as the technological monopoly by patent right comprises one of the effective entry barriers to the industrial sectors, patenting is one of the most important business strategies of the manufacturing companies assuring monopoly rent. Consequently, the great number of firms which depend upon international markets and produce products globally apply for their newly developed technologies to several countries. What should be remembered here is that the main patented technologies of the new products and new industrial sectors are acknowledged as their exclusive legal rights by a handful of countries and a few multinational companies. Table 1 shows the country ranking by the number of international patents granted in 1990. It can be seen that newly invented technologies patented in 1990, for example, are held by a handful of developed countries. Furthermore, only three countries, -US, (West) Ger-

Table 2
Number and Ranking of the Patent
Applications filed in Thailand
(in 1990)

Thailand	73	3.8%
US	813	41.9
Japan	360	18.6
UK	117	6.0
Germany	94	4.8
Switzerland	72	3.7
France	71	3.7
Netherland	71	3.7
Australia	47	2.4
Sweden	36	1.9
Italy	24	1.2
Bergium	21	1.1
Hungary	13	0.7
Canada	12	0.6
Other Countries	116	6.0
TOTAL	1.940	100.0%

Source; WIPO, op. cit.

many and Japan -take out more than sixty percent of the world total. This means that the technologies of the newly emerging sectors, which are likely to comprise the leading sectors for the future, are under their control.

3. Foreign Patent Applications to Thailand

The next question to be answered is what percent of the total number of patents applied to Thailand are foreign-owned patents. As documented in Table 2, of 1940 newly applied patents in 1990, Thai firms (and residents) account for only 3.8 percent, while the remaining 96.2 percent went to foreigners.

The sectoral distribution of foreign patents is examined next. Table 3-2 shows the breakdown of the patents applied in 1990 by industrial sector.

The industrial sector classification is made in accordance with the technical units of international patent classification which is shown in Table 3'. The sectors which have the largest number of patents applied are C07: A01N with 264, C08 with 199 and C 09-C11 with 179. These sectors consist of Organic Chemistry such as Fertilizer or Bio-sides, Organic macromolecular, Dyes, Paints, Petroleum, Animal & Vegetable Oil and

Table 3-1 Foreign Patent Applications in Thailand (in 1980)

	AT	AU	BE	CA	CH	DE	DK	ES	FI	FR	GB	HU	IT	JP	NL	NO	SE	US	XX	TOTAL
A01						1					3			8	10		10			32
A21—A24						1								2			2	1		6
A41—A47						1											4			5
A61—A63			1								2		2				3	1		9
A61K													2				1			3
B01—B20										1			1				3			5
B21—B23																		2		2
B24—B32										1			1				2	3		7
B41—B44																		2		2
B60—B64													1	1			1			3
B65—B68										1			3				1			5
C01—C05						1								1			1	4		7
C07, A01N	1	1			9	2				2	2	1	7	1			16			42
C08																	2			2
C09—C11															1		1	1		3
C12—C14										1			1				1			3
C21—23, C25, C30					2	2								1			2			7
D01—D07																				0
D21—R31						2		1	1											0
E01—E06																		2		6
E21																				0
F01—F04, F15																	1	1		2
F16, F17													1				1			2
F21—F28	2					1							1					3		7
F41, F42, C06																	4	2		6
G01—G03																				0
G04—G08																				0
G09—G12																				0
G21																				0
H01, H02, H05	2																1			3
H03, H04																				0

Source, Comiled from *Industrial Property Statistics 1980* (WIPO, Geneva, 1982)

so on which account for 34.4 percent of the total. When including A01 which consists of Agriculture, Forestry and Fishery, these sectors 35.5 percent of the total. However, comparing with 1980, shown in Table 3-1, a share of these sectors decreased from 42.9 percent. The important point here is that the number of H01-H05 and G04-G08 increased dramatically from 3 in 1980 to 328 in 1990. These sectors mainly consist of electric (and electronic) parts and devices, and Controlling, Computing, Checking and Signalling technologies. The number of patents applied by Thai-residents of these expanding sectors is only 5 accounting for 1.5 percent, remaining 98.5 percent-

Table 3-2 Foreign Patent Applications in Thailand (in 1990)

	THATAUBECACHDEDKESFI FRGBHUITJP NLNOSEUSXX																				TOTAL	
A01	6	1	2				1								2	1			2	5	20	
A21—A24	4						10	1	1	1	1	3		1	4	2			28	2	58	
A41—A47	10		1	1	2	3	1					3	6		3	32	2		13	13	90	
A61—A63	5	2										2	4			19	1		1	43	1	78
A61K							3	2	1			2	1	1	2	16	21	11		39		99
B01—B20	1		3				3	4				5	2			4	6			17	7	52
B21—B23	1		1								1	2				13	1			11	2	32
B24—B32	3		3	1	2	2	2	1				3	7			12	1			18	2	57
B41—B44	1		1				2					1	1				1			4	1	12
B60—B64	5											3				1		1		9	2	21
B65—B68	5		3				5	5	1	1		3	6			11	2	2	1	26	2	73
C01—C05	3		1	3			3					6	6			10	1	1		21	2	57
C07, A01N	3	1	1	8		32	29		1		14	35	12	3	38	5		12		68	2	264
C08			1	5		3	4					12	12		1	31	2	1		127		199
C09—C11	1		2	1	2		9	1	1			4	7			55	18			76	2	179
C12—C14	2										1	3	2			6			1	3	2	20
C21—23,C25,30		2		1	1											2	1			6	1	14
D01—D07				1		2	4					1				4	3			11	2	28
D21—R31			1			1	1													5		8
E01—E06	7	1	7				2					6	3			15	3	1		21	5	71
E21	1																					1
F01—F04, F15	5					1						1			1		1		10	1		20
F16, F17	2		3		1		5	1				2	2			7				11	11	44
F21—F28	2		1		1	1	1					1				10				11	6	39
F41, F42, C06			2									3						1		3		6
G01—G03		1		1								3				9				20		34
G04—G08	2		1		1	5	2					1				15		2		44	1	81
G09—G12							1									13				15	2	32
G21											1					2				1		4
H01, H02, H05	3	1					4	1				3				16	2			86	18	138
H03, H04			4			1	11					1	3			5		16		63	3	109

Source, Comiled from *Industrial Property Statistics 1990* (WIPO, Geneva, 1992)

Country Code

Country Code

TH:Thailand

AU:Australia

AT:Austria

BE:Belgium

CA:Canada

CH:Switzerland

DE:West Germany

DK:Denmark

ES:Spain

FI:Finland

FR:France

GB:United Kingdom

HU:Hungary

IT:Italy

JP:Japan

NL:Netherland

NO:Norway

SE:Sweden

US:United States

XX:Other Countries

Table 3' International Patent Classification

Section A- HUMAN NECESSITIES

SUB-SECTION: AGRICULTURE

Class:

A01—Agriculture; forestry; animal husbandry; hunting; fishing

Except sub-class: A01N—Preservation of bodies of humans or animals or plants or parts thereof; biocides, e.g. as disinfectants, as pesticides, as herbicides; pest repellants or attractants; plant growth regulators (see unit 13).

SUB-SECTION: FOODSTUFFS AND TOBACCO

Classes:

A21—Baking; edible doughs

A22—Butchering; meat treatment; processing poultry or fish

A23—Foods or foodstuffs; their treatment not included in other classes

A24—Tobacco; cigars; cigarettes; smokers' requisites

SUB-SECTION: PERSONAL AND DOMESTIC ARTICLES

Classes:

A41—Wearing apparel

A42—Headwear

A43—Footwear

A44—Haberdashery; jewellery

A45—Hand and travelling articles

A46—Brushware

A47—Furniture; domestic articles or appliances; coffee mills; spice mills; suction cleaners in general

SUB-SECTION: HEALTH AND AMUSEMENT

Classes:

A61—Medical and veterinary science; hygiene

Except sub-class: A61K—Preparations for medical, dental or toilet purposes (see unit 5).

A62—Life-saving; fire-fighting

A63—Sports; games; amusements

Sub-class:

A61K—Preparations for medical, dental, or toilet purposes

Section B- PERFORMING OPERATIONS: TRANSPORTING

SUB-SECTION: SEPARATING AND MIXING

Classes:

B01—Physical or chemical processes or apparatus in general

B02—Crushing, pulverising or disintegrating; preparatory treatment of grain for milling

B03—Separation of solid materials using liquids or using pneumatic tables or jigs; magnetic or electrostatic separation

B04—Centrifugal apparatus or machines for carrying-out physical or chemical processes

B05—Spraying or atomising in general, applying liquids or other fluent materials to surfaces, in general

B06—Generating or transmitting mechanical vibrations in general

B07—Separating solids from solids; sorting

B08—Cleaning

B09—Disposal of solid waste

SUB-SECTION: SHAPING

Except class: B31—Making paper articles; working paper (see unit 19).

Classes:

B21—Mechanical metal-working without essentially removing material; punching metal

B22—Casting; powder metallurgy

B23—Machine tools; metal-working not otherwise provided for

Classes:

B24—Grinding; polishing

B25—Hand tools; portable power-driven tools; workbench devices; manipulators

B26—Hand cutting tools; cutting; severing

- B27—Working or preserving wood or similar material; nailing or stapling machines in general
- B28—Working cement, clay, and stone
- B29—Working of plastics; working of substances in a plastic state, in general; workig of substances not otherwise provided for
- B30—Presses
- B32—Layered products

SUB-SECTION: PRINTING

Classes:

- B41—Printing; lining machines; typewriters; stamps
- B42—Bookbinding; albums; files; special printed matter
- B43—Writing and drawing appliances; bureau accessories
- B44—Decorative arts

SUB-SECTION: TRANSPORTIG

Classes:

- B60—Vehicles in general
- B61—Railways
- B62—Land vehicles for travelling otherwise than on rails
- B63—Ships or other waterbone vessels; related equipment
- B64—Aircraft; aviation; cosmonautics

Classes:

- B65—Conveying; packing; storing; handling thin or filamentary material
- B66—Hoisting, lifting; hauling
- B67—Liquid handling
- B68—Saddlery; upholstery

Section C- CHEMISTRY AND METALLURGY

SUB-SECTION: CHEMISTRY

Except class: C06—Explosives; matches (see unit 25) and including sub-class A01N

Classes:

- C01—Inorganic chemistry
- C02—Treatment of water, waste water, sewage, or sludge
- C03—Glass, mineral, and slag wool

- C04—Cements; ceramics, etc.; sound or thermal insulating materials
- C05—Fertilizers, manufacture thereof

Classes:

- C07—Organic chemistry
- and sub-class:

- A01N—Preservation of bodies of humans or animals or plants or arts thereof; biocides, e. g. as disinfectants, as pesticides, as herbicides; pest repellants or attractants; plant growth regulators

Class:

- C08—Organic macromolecular compounds; their preparation or chemical working-up; compositions based thereon

Classes:

- C09—Dyes; paints; polishes, natural resins; adhesives; miscellaneous compositions; miscellaneous applications of materials
- C10—Petroleum, gas and coke industries; technical gases containing carbon monoxide; fuels; lubricants; peat
- C11—Animal and vegetable oils, fats, fatty substances and waxes; fatty acids therefrom; detergents; candles
- C12—Biochemistry; beer; spirits; Wine; vinegar; microbiology; enzymology; mutation or genetic engineering
- C13—Sugar or starch industry
- C14—Skins; hides; peits leather

SUB-SECTION: METALLURGY

Classes:

- C21—Metallurgy of iron
- C22—Metallurgy; ferrous or non-ferrous alloys; treatment of alloys or non-ferrous metals
- C23—Working or treatment of metals, other than by mechanical means; covering materials with metals; Inhibiting corrosion or incrustation in general
- C25—Electrolytic or electrophoretic processes; apparatus thereof
- C30—Crystal growth

Section D- TEXTILES AND PAPER

SUB-SECTION: TEXTILES AND FLEXIBLE MATERIALS NOT OTHERWISE PROVIDED FOR

Classes:

- D01—Natural or artificial threads or fibres; spinning
 D02—Yarns; mechanical finishing of yarns or ropes; warping or beaming
 D30—Weaving
 D40—Braiding; lace-making; knitting; trimmings; non-woven fabrics
 D05—Sewing; embroidering tufting
 D06—Treatment of textiles, etc; laundering; flexible materials not otherwise provided for
 D07—Ropes; cables other than electric
-

SUB-SECTION: PAPER (including class B 31)

Class:

- D21—Paper-making; production of cellulose and class:
 D31—Making paper articles; working paper
-

Section E- FIXED CONSTRUCTIONS

SUB-SECTION: BUILDING

Classes:

- E01—Construction of roads, railways, or bridges
 E02—Hydraulic engineering, foundations; soil shifting
 E03—Water supply, sewerage
 E04—Building
 E05—Locks; keys; window or door fittings; safes
 E06—Doors, windows, shutters, or roller blinds, in general; ladders
-

SUB-SECTION: EARTH DRILLING; MINING

Class:

- E21—Earth drilling
-

Section F- MECHANICAL ENGINEERING; LIGHTING; HEATING; WEAPONS; BLASTING

SUB-SECTION: ENGINES AND PUMPS

Classes:

- F01—Machines or engines in general; engine plants in general, steam engines
 F02—Combustion engines; hot-gas or combustion-product engine plants
 F03—Machines or engines for liquids; wind, spring, weight, or miscellaneous motors; producing mechanical power or a reactive propulsive thrust, not otherwise provided for
 F04—Positive-displacement machines for liquids; pumps for liquids or elastic fluids
-

and

SUB-SECTION: ENGINEERING IN GENERAL

Class:

- F15—Fluid-pressure actuators; hydraulics or pneumatics in general
-

Classes:

- F16—Engineering elements or units; general measures for producing and maintaining effective functioning of machines or installations; thermal insulation in general
 F17—Storing or distributing gasses or liquids
-

SUB-SECTION: LIGHTING HEATING

Classes:

- F21—Lighting
 F22—Steam generation
 F23—Combustion apparatus; combustion processes
 F24—Heating; ranges; ventilating
 F25—Refrigeration or cooling; manufacture or storage of ice; liquefaction or solidification of gasses
 F26—Drying
 F27—Furnaces; kilns; ovens; retorts
 F28—Heat exchange in general
-

SUB-SECTION: WEAPONS; BLASTING
(including class C 06)

Classes:

F14—Weapons

F42—Ammunition; blasting and class:

C06—Explosives; matches

Section G- PHYSICS

SUB-SECTION: INSTRUMENTS

Classes:

G01—Measuring; testing

G02—Optics

G03—Photography; cinematography; electrography; photography

classes:

G04—Horology

G05—Controlling; regulating

G06—Computing; calculating; counting

G07—Checking devices

G08—Signalling

Classes:

G09—Educating; cryptography; display; advertising; deals

G10—Musical instruments; acoustics

G11—Information storage

G12—Instruments details

SUB-SECTION: NUCLEONICS

Class:

G21—Nuclear physics; nuclear engineering

Section H- ELECTRICITY

Classes:

H01—Basic electric elements

H02—Generation, conversion, or distribution of electric power

H05—Electric techniques not otherwise provided for

Classes:

H03—Basic electronic circuitry

H04—Electric communication technique

tis by foreigners. Only three countries, ie., US, Japan and Germany (West) account for 75 percent of the total, in which US alone accounts for 58.8 percent. Putting these facts together, patents in the technological sectors, which are definitely expected to be comprised of more important industries and markets for the future, are mostly held by the major developed countries, particularly, US, Japan, Germany, UK, and Switzerland.

4. Basic Differences Between Patent Application by MNCs to Home Countries and to Developing Countries and ASEAN (Thailand)

In this section, the extent by which patented technologies have been actually used or exploited is examined. In Japan, the average exploitation rate of patented technologies in 1982 was 27.2 percent at home (Patent Office, 1984). In the US, J. M. Katz (1973) estimates this ratio as 54-56 percent. On the other hand, in the case of Latin America, the rate of patents exploited by foreign subsidiaries was only under 5 percent. In Columbia, for example, it was 0.28 percent (UN, 1975). In the case of

Thailand, it is also expected to be under 5 percent. This is because even if local firms exploited about 50 percent of their patented technologies, domestic patents themselves account for only under 5 percent of the total.

Then, for what reasons do foreign companies supply for patents to developing countries (or ASEAN countries) or to Thailand? The main purposes of their international patenting strategy here is to obtain the exclusive distribution right of their patented products which will predominantly be produced at home, and to make it easier to make technology transfer agreements for transmitting royalties. The next section deals with the extent by which new technologies are transferred from the parent companies in their home countries to the subsidiaries in the developing countries (or ASEAN countries).

5. Recouping the R&D Expenditures

A study on 65 international technology contracts by 31 American multinational companies between 1960 and 1978 (Mansfield and Anthony 1980), shows that the time-lag of international intra-firm technology transfer from parent companies to subsidiaries in developing countries was 9.8, or nearly ten years. On the other hand, according to a case study by 94 international technology contracts by 63 Japanese companies in 1987 (Komoda and Fujiwara, 1989), 46.8 percent of international intra-firm technology transfer from parent companies to subsidiaries in developing countries was performed within 3 years, 62.8 percent within 5 years and 37.2 percent more than 5 years.

The reason why the time-lag of the Japanese companies is shorter than Americans seems to be owing to the fact that fierce competitions among Japanese companies are deployed not only at home and in developed countries, but also in developing countries (especially in ASEAN countries). What should further be kept in mind is that the time lapses between patent application of newly developed technologies and their exploitation in the production was 2.4 years on average in the case of Japanese companies.

Therefore, it could well be estimated that the transfer time-lag between the period when newly developed technologies for which patents were applied for and when they were transferred to subsidiaries was more than 12 years on average in the case of American firms, and more than 5-7 years of the Japanese firms. In other words,

Table 4 Recouping Period of R & D Expenditures and the Technology Life-cycle

Table 4—1		Table 4—2	
Recouping Period of R & D Expenditures by Recouping Period		Technology Life-cycle	
less than 2 years	25.1%	less than 2 years	0.2%
2—less than 4	28.5	2—less than 4	2.1
4—less than 6	24.1	4—less than 6	3.0
6—less than 8	9.9	6—less than 8	3.4
8—less than 10	6.5	8—less than 10	7.7
10—less than 15	5.3	10—less than 15	14.7
more than 15 years	0.5	more than 15 years	68.9
Total	100.0%	Total	100.0%
(sample : 323)		(sample : 470)	

Note: Technology life-cycle is from launching of new product into the market till discontinuation of production.

Source: Japan Society for Promotion of Machine Industry. 1981. *Innovation and Patent System*. Tokyo: Hatsumeikyokai. Pp. 74—79, 100—103.

most of these technologies have not been exploited for more than 12 years by American subsidiaries, and 5-7 years by Japanese subsidiaries in developing countries, although patents were applied for there. If this is the case, there is a need to examine next the extent by which R&D expenditures spent on the projects at home were recouped.

As is demonstrated in Table 4-1, while large R&D intensive Japanese sample firms have invested huge amount of money in R&D, about 78 percent of them successfully recouped R&D expenditures spent on the project within 4-6 years.

Furthermore, as is shown in Table 4-2, about 84 percent of the sample firms still get an income from the already recouped technologies for quite a long period of time, i. e., for more than 10-15 years.

6. Application of Trademarks (and Servicemarks) in Thailand

Considering the importance of intellectual property rights as business strategies for MNCs in Thailand, however, trademarks (and servicemarks) seems to play a more critical role than patenting for developed industrial technologies. From 1989 through 1991, main foreign companies whose patents, trademarks (and servicemarks) and industrial designs were granted in Thailand, are Lego Group (Denmark), Colgate-Palmolive (US), Dart Industries (US), Loventa Enx (Switzerland), Seico (Japan), Takara

Table 5-1 The Number of Trademark-Servicemark Applications
Filed in Thailand in 1990

(The number in the brackets are those in 1985)

United States	1,601 (735)
Japan	854 (404)
France	537 (199)
United Kingdom	485 (478)
Germany	447 (264)
Italy	427 (8)
Switzerland	264 (172)
Netherlands	133 (88)
Australia	119 (56)
Other	935 (415)
Total Foreign Applications	5,802 (2,819)
Total Thai Applications	7,061 (5,235)
TOTAL	12,863 (8,054)

Source: WIPO, *Industrial Property Statistics (Part II)*, 1985, 1990.

(Japan), YKK (Japan), and Mita Industries (Japan) and so forth (Dept. of Commercial registration, Thailand). When breaking down the industrial property rights of these foreign companies mentioned above into product fields, they belong to toys, toiletry products, watches, fastener, photocopy machines, and so forth. These product fields do not seem to be High-tech sectors. In the case of photocopy machines, not critical but rather peripheral technologies of parts and components, and also trademarks are applied for patents. In other words, it means that technologies in these product fields are the ones which are quite possible to be imitated, if certain level of infrastructures are established. The foreign companies, therefore, firstly apply for their trademarks (and servicemarks) to Thailand to prevent from copied products with their original brandnames or Logomarks, and to protect structural features of the products from imitation, through applying them for patents.

Table 5-1 shows the number of application of trademarks (and servicemarks) by foreigners to Thailand. As it shows, the number of trademark applications increased from 8,054 in 1985 to 12,863 in 1990, of which the number of foreign applications increased from 2,819 to 5,802 in the same period, i. e., 210 percent, while that of the Thai-domestic increased from 5,235 to 7,061, i. e., 35 percent.

Furthermore, while the number of patent applications filed in Thailand was 1,940 in 1990, that of trademark applications was 12,863 in the same year.

Table 5—2 Comparison of the Number of Patent Applications and the Number of Trademark Applications filed in Each Country (in 1990)

(The number in the brackets shows the one by foreign residents)

	Patent Applications	Trademark Applications
Thailand	1,940 (1,907)	12,863 (5.802)
Japan	376,692 (43,419)	171,726 (20,653)
United States	176,100 (86,690)	127,346 (20,653)
Germany	110,349 (66,459)	42,878 (N · A)

Note: In the case of France, the number of Trademark applications is bigger than that of patent applications.

Source: WIPO, op, cit.

The latter number, therefore, is equivalent to 6.6 times as much as the former. On the other hand, as is shown in Table 5-2, the number of patent applications filed in Japan in 1990 was 2.2 times as many as that of trademark applications. In the case of the US and Germany, one can see the same pattern. In General, the number of patent applications is bigger than that of trademark applications in the developed industrialised countries, while the adverse pattern can be seen in the developing countries.

Foreign multinationals, in this way, deploy their global business strategies by controlling intellectual property rights, through which even if Thai local firms and local industrial sectors succeed in industrialisation, technological leadership of the relating industrial sectors or newly emerging sectors is always in the hands of foreign Multinationals. In other words, it means that technology transfer from the developed countries to Thailand (and to developing countries) is, structurally, performed within the system of the world economy where major technologies are under control of the developed countries (and of Multinationals).

7. Payment for Imported Technology and R&D Expenditures in ASEAN

In this section, the extent by which the Philippines and Thailand paid for imported technologies will be examined. When some companies remit royalties and fees overseas from the countries, they generally need a technology transfer arrangement, which is "a contract or agreement involving the transfer of systematic knowledge for the manufacture of a product, for the application of a process or for the rendering of a

Table 6. Classification of Agreements by Type of Assets
Transferred

(against country of origin as of 30 June 1988)

Type of Assets	Number of Assets (by Acountry)							TOTAL
	US	JP	CH	UK	DE	AU	Others	
Patents								
Trademarks	111	50	18	12	15	5	24	235
Knowhow								
Patents								
Trademarks	4	3	—	1	1	—	—	9
Patents								
Knowhow	22	16	4	—	2	3	9	56
Trademarks								
Knowhow	134	31	11	30	10	3	22	241
Patents	4	3	—	—	—	—	2	9
Trademarks	40	6	4	3	4	—	11	68
Knowhow	37	22	9	6	4	6	35	119
Consultancy	31	10	9	6	5	3	20	84
TOTAL	383	141	55	58	41	20	123	821

Source: Department of Trade and Industry (Philippines), bureau of Patents,
Trademarks and Technology Transfer.

service, including the transfer, assignment or licensing of all forms of industrial property right" (Department of Trade and Industry, Philippines, 1988). Table 6 shows a classification of agreements by type of assets transferred and by the country of origin as of 1988.

It is important to keep in mind that technology transfer agreements, including trademark, account for the considerable part of them. It means that a considerable part of the agreement included those without any direct relation to the actual production technology.

What is more important is that as Table 7-2 shows, royalties and fees remitted abroad in 1987 was equivalent to 100.3 percent of R&D expenditures spent in the same year. In the case of Thailand, the ratio amounted to 181 percent in 1989. The fact that the ratio is more than 100 percent seems to mean that these countries lack autonomous R&D infrastructures and heavily dependent on foreign technologies.

The ratio in Japan is about 7 percent in the same year, although Japan is the biggest technology importer in the world.

Table 7 Royalties & Fees Remitted Abroad as a Percentage of R & D Expenditures (in 1989)

	A(=R&D Expenditures)	B(=Royalties & Fees)	B/A
Philippines ('87)	634	636	100.3%
Thailand	2,940	5,320	181.0%
Korea	4,029	930	23.1%
Japan	109,093	7,347	6.7%
Germany	47,565	2,968	6.2%
United States	193,871	2,978	1.5%

Note: Philippines; million Pesos, Thailand; hundred million Baht, Korea; million Dollar, Japan·Germany·US; hundred million Yen.

Source: Central Bank of the Philippines, Bank of Thailand, TDRI, Science & Tecnology Annual (Ministry of S&T, Korea), Science & Technology Annual (Ministry of S&T, Japan).

Table 8 The Number and Share of Patent Applications Filed in Thailand by Country of Origin

	1980	1985	1990
Thailand	18 (8.9%)	55 (7.8%)	73 (96.2%)
Foreign	184 (91.1%)	652 (92.2%)	1,867 (96.2%)
TOTAL	202 (100.0%)	707 (100.0%)	1,940 (100.0%)

Source: Compiled From *Industrial Property Statistics 1990* (WIPO, 1992).

8. Conclusion

The main conclusions and implications of this paper are as follows:

As Table 8 shows, the more Thailand (and ASEAN countries) gets industrialized, the more the number of patents applied for by foreign companies to this country has increased dramatically. It means that Thailand has been incorporated in the patent control of major developed countries and it has been globally integrated into the production, trade and investment process by them. What is to be kept in mind, furthermore, is that not only major industrial technologies, but also brandnames and designs are covered as a package through intellectual property rights by foreign multinationals.

In other words, it has been a process by which ASEAN or Thailand has been integrated into MNC's global production and distribution network, and into international division of labor favoring major developed countries on the basis of their world wide intellectual property right network.

References

- Dept. of Commerce of the US, *Survey of Current Buusiness*, various issues.
- Gereffi, G. (1983), *The Pharmaceutical Industry and Dependency in the Third World*, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Hayashi, T. (1989), Technology Control and Transfer of Multinational Companies and the Philippine Economy, *De La Salle University Business and Economics Review*, Vol. 2, No. 1.
-* (1989), *Multinational Companies and Intellectual Property Rights*, Tokyo: Moriyamashoten.
-* (1993), Industrialisation of Thailand and Intellectual Property Rights, *Rikkyo Economic Review*, Vol. 45, No. 2.
- Japan Society for ther Promotion of Machinery Industry* (1981), *Innovations and the Patent System*, Tokyo: Hatsumeikyokai.
- Katz, J. (1973), Patents, the Paris Convention and Less Developed Countries, *Center Discussion Paper* No. 190, New haven: Yale University.
- Komoda, F. and S. Fujiwara* (1989), *R&D Strategies and Technology Network*, Yamaguchi: Yamaguchi University Press.
- Mansfield, E. and R. Anthony (1980), Technology Transfer to Overseas Subsidiaries by the US-based Firms, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* (August)
- Patent Office* (1984), *Patenting Structures in Japan*, Tokyo: Patent Office.
- United Nations (1975), *The Role of the Patent System in the Transfer of Technology to Developing Countries*, New York: The UN.
- The World Bank (1987), *The Philippine Issues in the Industrial Sector*, No. 2: Policy Annexes (April 29).
- (* is written in Japanese).